

Interim Police Complaints Information Bulletin: Lincolnshire

Reporting Period: 01 April 2024 - 31 December 2024 (Q3 2024/25)

Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Cumbria, Devon And Cornwall, Lincolnshire, Norfolk, North Wales, North Yorkshire, Suffolk, West Mercia

About this bulletin

This bulletin presents information about complaints defined under the Police Reform Act 2002 (PRA 2002), as amended by the Police and Crime Act 2017.

It sets out performance against a number of measures and compares force results to their most similar force (MSF) group (where applicable) and with the overall result for all forces (national).

Please note: Unless stated otherwise, tables within the bulletin consist of 'year-to-date' figures covering all matters being started or completed between the two dates.

Q1: 1 April to 30 June, Q2: 1 April to 30 September, Q3: 1 April to 31 December, Q4: 1 April to 31 March

Where charts refer to separate quarters the dates are: Q1: 1 April to 30 June, Q2: 1 July to 30 September, Q3: October to 31 December, Q4 1 January to 31 March.

Please note: Due to one force being unable to submit data for this quarter, MSF group and national figures are incomplete. Therefore these figures should not be considered definitive.

Contents

Page 1 Section A1.1: Complaints and allegations logged – totals and per 1,000 employees, Section A1.2: Means of handling – reasons a complaint is recorded under Schedule 3

Page 2 Section A1.3: Allegations logged – what has been complained about

Page 3 Section A1.4: Allegations logged – what has been complained about – top five allegation categories and their subcategories

Page 4 Section A1.5: National complaint factors as a proportion of allegations logged

Page 5 Section A1.6: National complaint factors on the top five allegation categories

Page 6 Section A2: Allegations timeliness

Page 7 Section A3.1: How allegations were finalised and their decisions

Page 8 Section A3.2: Allegation decisions by what was complained about (category)

Page 9 Section B1.1 Allegation actions on allegations handled outside of Schedule 3

Page 10 Section B1.2 Allegation actions on allegations handled under Schedule 3

Page 11 Section C1: Reviews received and timeliness

Page 12 Section C2: Outcomes on reviews

Page 13 Section D1: Complaint cases timeliness on Schedule 3 complaints

Page 14 Section D2: Complaint cases timeliness on Outside Schedule 3 complaints and how complaints are finalised, Section D3: How complaints are handled

Page 15 Section E: Referrals

Page 16 Notes

Acronyms used in this bulletin

YTD – Year to date figures, **SPLY** - Same period last year, **MSF** - Most similar force, **LPB** - Local policing body, **PRA** - Police Reform Act 2002

Nat. – National, **RPRP** – Reflective Practice Review Process, **UPP** – Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure

Section A1.1: Complaint cases and allegations logged


A complaint case is formed of one or more allegations. Numbers per 1,000 employees are used to demonstrate how the number of complaints/allegations against a force compare to their most similar force group and national figures. The force should contact the complainant and log the complaint as soon as possible after the complaint has been raised. Average to log/contact is from the customer perspective.

Note: Number of employees is a fixed number through the period, therefore complaints and allegations per 1,000 employees is higher for the full period (as in the table) than when broken down in to quarters (as per the charts)

Year to date	Complaint cases logged	Complaints per 1,000 employees	Allegations Logged	Allegations per 1,000 employees	Average working days to contact complainants	Average working days to log complaints
Force	962	404	1,566	657	2	11
SPLY	831	353	1,440	612	3	11
MSF Average	679	215	1,233	375	16	20
National	69,504	273	122,348	480	8	7



Complaints logged per 1,000 employees

● Force ● MSF Average ● National



Allegations logged per 1,000 employees

● Force ● MSF Average ● National



Section A1.2: Reason for complaints to be logged under Schedule 3

For space reasons some figures in the above charts are not shown

Allegations should have an allegation category applied to them which will identify the root of what the allegation is about.

Forces can handle a complaint informally (known as Outside of Schedule 3), this should be used to deal with lower level complaints.

If a complaint is handled formally under Schedule 3, the force should record the reason why.

For more information on Schedule 3 of the Police Reform Act see here:

[Guidance on capturing data about police complaints.](#)

Reason complaint case recorded under Schedule 3 (YTD)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
AA/body responsible for initial handling decides	47	498	124	9,603
Complainant wishes the complaint be recorded	133	50	46	4,564
Dissatisfaction after initial handling	559	1	87	3,723
Nature of the allegation(s) in the complaint	15	9	31	5,364
Total	754	558	288	23,254

Reason complaint case recorded under Schedule 3 (YTD)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
AA/body responsible for initial handling decides	6 %	89 %	45 %	41 %
Complainant wishes the complaint be recorded	18 %	9 %	20 %	20 %
Dissatisfaction after initial handling	74 %	0 %	19 %	16 %
Nature of the allegation(s) in the complaint	2 %	2 %	15 %	23 %


Section A1.3: Allegations logged – what has been complained about (YTD)

This section presents the three most commonly recorded categories for allegations that have been logged. A complaint case will contain one or more allegations and one category (and sub-category, where available) is selected for each allegation logged. Total % is of the total number of allegations logged. Allegations where the subcategory is 'none' are omitted from this table.


What has been complained about (YTD)

Year to date	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Use of police vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour	Abuse of position/ corruption	Individual behaviours	Sexual conduct	Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
Force	921	373	33	37	19	18	12	142	1	3	7	1,566
SPLY	745	354	49	19	24	15	11	202	1	9	11	1,440
MSF Average	614	298	42	25	14	31	16	163	5	13	11	1,233
National	66,729	25,690	4,027	2,522	1,266	3,476	1,192	15,133	293	761	1,257	122,346

What has been complained about (force - year to date)



What has been complained about (national - year to date)



Section A1.4: Allegations logged – Top five allegation categories and their sub-categories (Year to date)

Category	Year to date Subcategory	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National		
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Delivery of duties and service	Total	921	59 %	745	52 %	614	47 %	66,726	55 %	
	Police action following contact	678	74 %	328	44 %	266	43 %	27,618	41 %	
	Decisions	113	12 %	214	29 %	95	17 %	9,699	15 %	
	Information	67	7 %	123	17 %	80	16 %	7,682	12 %	
	General level of service	63	7 %	80	11 %	173	24 %	21,727	33 %	
Police powers, policies and procedures	Total	373	24 %	354	25 %	298	25 %	25,687	21 %	
	Searches of premises and seizure of property	72	19 %	28	8 %	35	11 %	3,261	13 %	
	Use of force	70	19 %	82	23 %	72	24 %	6,584	26 %	
	Detention in police custody	68	18 %	44	12 %	49	17 %	3,661	14 %	
	Power to arrest and detain	53	14 %	64	18 %	54	18 %	4,643	18 %	
	Other policies and procedures	44	12 %	84	24 %	27	8 %	2,576	10 %	
	Bail, identification and interview procedures	32	9 %	24	7 %	23	8 %	1,489	6 %	
	Evidential procedures	15	4 %	2	1 %	22	8 %	1,861	7 %	
	Stops, and stop and search	11	3 %	18	5 %	12	4 %	1,218	5 %	
	Out of court disposals	8	2 %	8	2 %	5	1 %	393	2 %	
	Information	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	
	Individual behaviours	Total	142	9 %	202	14 %	163	14 %	15,132	12 %
		Impolite language / tone	111	78 %	129	64 %	42	27 %	3,890	26 %
		Unprofessional attitude and disrespect	18	13 %	17	8 %	47	28 %	4,272	28 %
Lack of fairness and impartiality		7	5 %	39	19 %	24	15 %	2,089	14 %	
Overbearing or harassing behaviours		4	3 %	12	6 %	31	19 %	2,570	17 %	
Impolite and intolerant actions		2	1 %	5	2 %	20	12 %	2,311	15 %	
Access and/or disclosure of information	Total	37	2 %	19	1 %	25	2 %	2,522	2 %	
	Disclosure of information	29	78 %	9	47 %	18	73 %	1,678	67 %	
	Handling of information	5	14 %	6	32 %	5	18 %	552	22 %	
	Use of police systems	2	5 %	3	16 %	2	6 %	191	8 %	
	Accessing and handling of information from other sources	1	3 %	1	5 %	1	2 %	100	4 %	
	Decisions	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	
Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Total	33	2 %	49	3 %	42	3 %	3,932	3 %	
	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	33	100 %	49	100 %	42	100 %	3,931	98 %	
	Police action following contact	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	

This section presents the three most commonly recorded categories for allegations that have been logged. A complaint case will contain one or more allegations and one category (and sub-category, where available) is selected for each allegation logged.

Total % is of the total number of allegations logged. Allegations where the subcategory is 'none' are omitted from this table.

Section A1.5: National complaint factors

Year to date Factors on all allegations	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged
Investigation	646	41 %	666	46 %	406	36 %	46,292	38 %
None	305	19 %	278	19 %	319	21 %	22,863	19 %
Arrest	188	12 %	131	9 %	165	15 %	15,683	13 %
Custody	139	9 %	77	5 %	89	7 %	7,020	6 %
VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	124	8 %	114	8 %	84	8 %	5,179	4 %
Call Handling	111	7 %	99	7 %	47	4 %	5,209	4 %
Neighbourhood policing	79	5 %	38	3 %	42	4 %	5,699	5 %
Domestic / gender abuse	78	5 %	117	8 %	78	8 %	6,828	6 %
Premises search	78	5 %	24	2 %	41	3 %	2,989	2 %
Child protection / CSA / CSE	68	4 %	49	3 %	48	5 %	2,199	2 %
Mental health	40	3 %	23	2 %	33	3 %	3,667	3 %
Roads/traffic	35	2 %	44	3 %	59	5 %	7,298	6 %
Drugs / alcohol	29	2 %	45	3 %	23	2 %	1,408	1 %
Stop and/or search	28	2 %	35	2 %	24	2 %	2,543	2 %
Fraud	10	1 %	1	0 %	7	1 %	779	1 %
Social media	9	1 %	5	0 %	4	0 %	506	0 %
Serious injury	8	1 %	8	1 %	4	0 %	256	0 %
Restraint equipment	7	0 %	12	1 %	14	1 %	1,321	1 %
Missing persons	6	0 %	5	0 %	5	0 %	771	1 %
Death	5	0 %	8	1 %	14	1 %	1,105	1 %
Hate Crime	5	0 %	7	0 %	10	1 %	699	1 %
Public order incident	4	0 %	4	0 %	6	1 %	972	1 %
VAWG - police perpetrated	4	0 %	5	0 %	16	2 %	848	1 %
Taser	3	0 %	1	0 %	2	0 %	146	0 %
Firearms	2	0 %	0	0 %	9	1 %	559	0 %
Police dogs or horses	1	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	76	0 %
VAWG - police victim	1	0 %	1	0 %	1	0 %	107	0 %
Coronavirus - other	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
Coronavirus - police powers on infectiou	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
Coronavirus - police powers on restricti	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
Covert policing	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	66	0 %
PPDA	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	27	0 %
PPDA - Police victim	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	3	0 %
Prejudicial and improper behaviour	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	4	0 %
Unknown	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	23	0 %
VAWG' - dissatisfaction handling	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	16	0 %
VAWG' - police victim	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	23	0 %

This section presents information that shows the situational context of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint.

Each allegation should have a single category selected. However, multiple factors can be selected on a single allegation. Therefore, the sum of factors will not equal the total allegations logged in each category and the percentages here will add up to over 100%. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for definitions of categories and factors.

Section A1.6: National complaint factors on top five allegation categories

Factors on top five allegation categories (Year to date)	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Individual behaviours
VAWG - police victim	0	1	0	0	0
VAWG - police perpetrated	0	4	0	0	0
VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	92	11	0	4	13
Taser	0	3	0	0	0
Stop and/or search	8	14	2	0	4
Social media	6	1	0	1	1
Serious injury	0	7	0	0	1
Roads/traffic	11	5	0	1	6
Restraint equipment	0	7	0	0	0
Public order incident	1	2	0	0	1
Premises search	10	57	8	0	3
Police dogs or horses	1	0	0	0	0
None	148	41	14	22	54
Neighbourhood policing	71	1	0	1	5
Missing persons	3	2	1	0	0
Mental health	8	24	0	0	7
Investigation	554	47	8	5	20
Hate Crime	5	0	0	0	0
Fraud	9	0	1	0	0
Firearms	0	2	0	0	0
Drugs / alcohol	9	20	0	0	0
Domestic / gender abuse	54	12	0	1	8
Death	5	0	0	0	0
Custody	15	107	0	3	10
Child protection / CSA / CSE	49	13	0	2	4
Call Handling	81	4	0	0	24
Arrest	39	128	2	2	8
Total	919	373	33	37	142

This section presents information that shows what people are complaining about using a combination of allegation categories and factors against the police force.

Categories capture the root of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint. Factors capture the situational context of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint.

The combination of categories and factors provides a richer picture of what people are complaining about compared to the categories alone.

Each allegation should have a single category selected. However, multiple factors can be selected on a single allegation. Therefore, the sum of factors will not equal the total allegations logged in each category. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for definitions of categories and factors.

The table below shows a breakdown of allegations logged with the focus national complaint factors.

IOPC Police Data Year Quarter	VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	VAWG - police perpetrated	VAWG - police victim	Total
Q3 23/24	58	1	1	60
Q4 23/24	35	2	0	37
Q1 24/25	32	1	1	34
Q2 24/25	42	3	0	45
Q3 24/25	50	0	0	50
Total	217	7	2	226


Section A2: Allegations timeliness

This section presents the time it takes the force to finalise allegations by how they were handled. It gives a breakdown of allegations handled informally outside of Schedule 3 and those that were handled formally by either by investigation or handled other than by investigation. Timeliness is calculated from the date the allegation was received by the force to the day the complainant is informed of the allegation decision.



Independent investigation figures do not include conduct or death/serious injury investigations which are also investigated by the IOPC.

Allegations with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the performance framework counting rules and calculations on the [IOPC website](#) for an explanation of invalid dates.

Year to date Allegations	Outside of Schedule 3		Under Schedule 3 - not subject to investigation		Under Schedule 3 - by local investigation		Under Schedule 3 - by independent investigation	
	Number Finalised	Average days	Number Finalised	Average days	Number Finalised	Average days	Number Finalised	Average days
Force	241	10	1,303	63	93	106	0	0
SPLY	290	13	1,001	70	74	156	1	201
MSF Average	428	51	625	122	104	218	1	29
National	51,937	20	53,990	108	13,259	214	224	307



Year to date Allegations	Under Schedule 3 - by directed investigation	
	Number Finalised	Average days
Force	0	0
SPLY	0	0
MSF Average	0	0
National	17	574




Section A3.1: How allegations were handled and their decisions

This section presents a breakdown of how allegations were handled information and the decisions being given. An allegation decision is logged for each allegation finalised. The allegation decision reflects how the complaint case has been handled, with different decisions available for the different means of handling. Both the allegation decisions and the subsequent actions available will depend on two things: firstly, whether the complaint case has been handled outside or under Schedule 3; and secondly, the means of handling where it has been dealt with under Schedule 3. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for details of allegation decisions.

How allegations were handled (Year to date)	Force No.	Force %	MSF Average No.	MSF Average %	National No.	National %
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	86	5 %	93	10 %	12,092	10 %
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	7	0 %	12	1 %	1,408	1 %
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	1,303	80 %	625	55 %	53,990	45 %
Outside of Schedule 3	241	15 %	428	34 %	51,937	43 %
Total	1,637	100 %	1157	100 %	119,427	100 %

Force: percent of allegations finalised by handling method

Handling Method • Outside of Schedule 3 • Under Schedule 3 - not investigated • Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures) • Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)



How allegations were handled (Year to date)	Outside of Schedule 3				Under Schedule 3 - not investigated				Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)				Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)			
	Force No.	Force %	National No.	National %	Force No.	Force %	National No.	National %	Force No.	Force %	National No.	National %	Force No.	Force %	National No.	National %
No further action					4	0 %	4,006	7 %			22	2 %			347	3 %
Regulation 41 applies							77	0 %			3	0 %			155	1 %
Service provided - unable to determine					107	8 %	4,930	9 %			18	1 %	7	8 %	1,148	9 %
Service provided - not acceptable					177	14 %	7,176	13 %			43	3 %	5	6 %	1,461	12 %
Service provided - acceptable					999	77 %	36,299	67 %			199	14 %	70	81 %	8,649	72 %
Not Resolved			2,767	5 %												
Resolved	241	100 %	49,169	95 %												
No Case to Answer									6	86 %	796	57 %				
Case to Answer									1	14 %	301	21 %				
Withdrawal					16	1 %	1,501	3 %			26	2 %	4	5 %	332	3 %


Section A3.2: Allegation decisions by what was complained about (Year to date by category)

This section presents information about allegations handled both informally and formally, grouped by the allegation decision and the category selected on each allegation. Each allegation has a single category selected.

Outside Schedule 3 allegation decisions

Allegation decisions	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Use of police vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour	Abuse of position/ corruption	Individual behaviours	Sexual conduct	Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
Resolved	166	30	15	6	2	0	0	17	0	0	5	241
Not Resolved	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Schedule 3 allegation decisions



Section B1.1: Allegation actions - on allegations handled outside of Schedule 3 (Year to date)

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation (action). Actions are captured at allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations on finalised complaint cases that resulted in the corresponding action. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for details of actions available.

Please note: not all of the available actions arising from the complaint handling are shown. The actions presented in this section are those that focus on putting an issue right and preventing it from happening again by encouraging those involved to reflect on their actions and learn.

Actions following outside of Schedule 3 complaint cases	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised
Organisational learning	1	0 %	1	0 %	2	1 %	199	0 %
Learning from reflection	5	2 %	11	4 %	6	4 %	1,462	3 %
Policy review	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	48	0 %
Goodwill gesture	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	80	0 %
Apology	8	3 %	7	2 %	28	10 %	4,995	10 %
Debrief	2	1 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	436	1 %
Explanation	202	84 %	220	76 %	229	54 %	32,190	62 %
No further action	6	2 %	29	10 %	68	16 %	5,660	11 %
Other action	17	7 %	22	8 %	85	14 %	6,288	12 %

Section B1.2: Allegation actions - on allegations handled under Schedule 3 (Year to date)

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation (action). Actions are captured at allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations on finalised complaint cases that resulted in the corresponding action. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for details of actions available. Please note: not all of the available actions arising from the complaint handling are shown. The actions presented in this section are those that focus on putting an issue right and preventing it from happening again by encouraging those involved to reflect on their actions and learn.

All complaint cases handled under Schedule 3

Actions following Schedule 3 complaint cases	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised
Organisational learning	0	0 %	19	2 %	8	1 %	586	1 %
Apology	120	9 %	12	1 %	40	5 %	2,663	4 %
Debrief	0	0 %	2	0 %	6	1 %	1,928	3 %
Explanation	1,206	86 %	889	83 %	485	64 %	41,875	62 %
Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure (UPP)	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	27	0 %
No further action	50	4 %	74	7 %	130	20 %	14,539	22 %
Other action	3	0 %	4	0 %	4	0 %	670	1 %
Learning from reflection	13	1 %	53	5 %	38	6 %	3,600	5 %
Referral to RPRP	0	0 %	5	0 %	7	1 %	1,026	2 %

Misconduct, UPP and RPRP on complaints investigated under Schedule 3 (subject to Special Procedures)


Complaint cases handled under Schedule 3 that are investigated and subject to Special Procedures can result in misconduct proceedings

Actions following Schedule 3 (special procedures) cases	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised
Misconduct proceedings	0	0 %	1	25 %	3	19 %	157	11 %
Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure (UPP)	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	10	1 %
Other actions following a case to answer decision	1	14 %	0	0 %	1	4 %	93	7 %
Referral to RPRP	0	0 %	2	50 %	2	15 %	230	16 %


Section C1: Reviews received and timeliness (Year to date)

This section presents data on the number of reviews received about this force by the IOPC and by the local policing body. The charts enable you to see the proportion of each review type being received by the two bodies. For information on when the IOPC should be the review body, please see our [Statutory Guidance](#)

Non-investigation reviews received	LPB	IOPC
Force	83	7
SPLY	84	11
MSF Average	39	12
National	2,868	1,076




Investigation reviews received	LPB	IOPC
Force	1	7
SPLY	0	6
MSF Average	1	7
National	230	725



Complaints are not finalised until after any reviews are completed. However, this measure helps to provide an indication of how often reviews are made in comparison to the number of complaints completed.

	Reviews received	Schedule 3 complaints finalised
Force	98	816
SPLY	101	595
MSF Average	59	290
National	4,899	23,364



	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Average number of working days to complete Local Policing Body reviews	10	32	63	48
Average number of working days to complete IOPC reviews	142	135	155	137


Section C2: Outcomes on reviews

This section presents information about the decisions made on reviews including the proportion of reviews that found the outcome of the complaint was not reasonable and proportionate leading to the review being upheld.


Investigation reviews (YTD)	Completed investigation (IOPC)	Upheld investigation (IOPC)	Completed investigation (LPB)	Upheld investigation (LPB)
Force	5	3	1	
SPLY	11	2	0	
MSF Average	6	2	1	1
National	631	201	215	57

Non-investigation reviews (YTD)	Completed non-investigation (IOPC)	Upheld non-investigation (IOPC)	Completed non-investigation (LPB)	Upheld non-investigation (LPB)
Force	2	1	90	21
SPLY	12	5	90	20
MSF Average	11	4	41	10
National	729	226	2,774	578

% IOPC reviews upheld - Force



% LPB Reviews upheld - Force






Section D1: Complaint cases finalised under Schedule 3 - timeliness

This section shows the time it takes the force to finalise complaint cases from the customer's perspective. It gives a breakdown of the time taken to finalise complaint cases handled formally under Schedule 3. Timeliness is calculated from the date the complaint was made. On cases under Schedule 3, the below figures include the time a case may spend suspended due to criminal matters.

Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the [IOPC website](#) performance framework counting rules and calculations on the for an explanation of invalid dates. For more information on the proportion of complaints handled under each method (along with outside if Schedule 3) please see page 14

Average working days to finalise (Year to date)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	301	270	297	315
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	157	187	275	228
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	76	123	160	120
Total	80	127	171	142

Number finalised (Year to date)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	780	557	264	19,007
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	33	33	21	3,833
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	3	5	6	524
Total	816	595	290	23,364




Section D2: Complaint cases finalised outside of Schedule 3 - timeliness

This section shows the time it takes the force to finalise complaint cases from the customer's perspective. It gives a breakdown of the time taken to finalise complaint cases handled formally under Schedule 3. Timeliness is calculated from the date the complaint was made. On cases under Schedule 3, the below figures include the time a case may spend suspended due to criminal matters.

Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the [IOPC website](#) performance framework counting rules and calculations on the for an explanation of invalid dates.

Year to date

	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Complaint cases handled outside of Schedule 3	205	279	348	43520
Average days to finalise complaint cases handled outside of Schedule 3	10	13	61	22



Section D3: How complaint cases handled

This section shows the proportion of complaint cases handled by the force, most similar for group and nationally under each method of handling. The table covers data recorded in the period as per 'Reporting Period' above, while the below chart concerns the force only using the last four quarters of available data.

Means Of Handling (YTD)	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised
Outside of Schedule 3	205	20%	279	32%	348	55%	43,520	65%
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	780	76%	557	64%	264	41%	19,007	28%
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	33	3%	33	4%	21	3%	3,834	6%
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	3	0%	5	1%	6	1%	524	1%
Total	1,021	100%	874	100%	637	100%	66,885	100%

Force: percent of complaint cases finalised by handling method

Means Of Handling • Outside of Schedule 3 • Under Schedule 3 - not investigated • Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures) • Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)



Section E: Referrals

The figures presented in this section include all referrals that the force makes to the IOPC (received), not just those arising from complaints.

When the IOPC receives a referral from the force, it reviews the information they have provided. The IOPC decides whether the matter requires an investigation, and the type of investigation.

Referrals may have been completed in a different period to when they were received.


Where a referral is made by the force on a mandatory basis but does not meet the mandatory referral criteria, the matter may not fall within the IOPC’s remit to assess and will be determined invalid.

The sum of decisions may not match the number of referrals completed. This is because some matters referred may have come to the attention of the appropriate authority before 1 February 2020 and have investigation type decisions of either managed or supervised.

Year to date

	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Number referrals received	61	40	80	5,168
Number referrals completed	59	41	77	5,081
Decision: Independent Investigation	4	1	3	279
Decision: Directed Investigation	0	0	0	23
Decision: Local Investigation	40	29	41	2,754
Decision: Return to Force	13	10	31	1,907
Decision: Invalid	2	1	2	116

Force and MSF Group referrals received



Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Cumbria, Devon And Cornwall, Lincolnshire, Norfolk, North Wales, North Yorkshire, Suffolk, West Mercia

Notes

Data sources

- Data in this bulletin is taken from XML data submissions made by forces to the IOPC every quarter except for IOPC performance data which is taken from the IOPC case management system.
- Police force employee numbers are taken from the latest [police workforce England and Wales statistics](#) published by the Home Office.
- The most similar force (MSF) groups used for the calculation of the MSF averages in this bulletin are those determined by HMICFRS. Please note that the British Transport Police and City of London Police do not have an MSF group. A list of the MSF groups is available on the [IOPC website](#).
- Figures for City of London include complaint cases logged in relation to 'Action Fraud'. Action Fraud is the UK's national reporting centre for fraud and cybercrime. The service is run by the City of London Police, which is the national policing lead for economic crime.

Performance Framework counting rules and calculation

- The counting rules and calculations used to produce the data shown in this bulletin can be found on the [IOPC website](#).
- Average times are presented in working days and do not include weekends or bank holidays.
- Some percentages may add up to more or less than 100% due to rounding.
- Complaint cases and allegations with invalid start/end dates have been removed from average time calculations. Therefore, the numbers of complaint cases and allegations used in the average time calculations may be lower than the total number of complaint cases and allegations logged or finalised.

Data constraints

- The data is sourced from live case management systems and provides a snapshot of information as it was at that time. Therefore, there may be variances between the information in this bulletin and information reported at a later date.

Further Information

- A glossary providing a full list of definitions used in this bulletin, can be found on the [IOPC website](#).
- Additional information about the recording of police complaints, including the definitions of the complaint categories, can be found in appendix A of the IOPC's [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#).
- Information about how the police complaints system operates, who can complain and how reviews are dealt with can be found in the IOPC's [Statutory Guidance on the police complaints system \(February 2020\)](#).