Reporting Period: 01 April 2023 - 31 March 2024 (Q4 2023/24)

About this bulletin

This bulletin presents information about complaints defined under the Police Reform Act 2002), as amended by the Police and Crime Act 2017. The legislation came into effect on 1 February 2020 (4 January 2021 for the British Transport Police). It sets out performance against a number of measures and compares force (MSF) group (where applicable) and with the overall result for all forces (national).

Contents

Page 1 Section A1:1: Complaint cases logged and initial handling **Page 2** Section A1.2: Allegations logged – what has been complained about **Page 3** Section A1.3: Allegations logged – what has been complained about – top five allegation categories and their subcategories **Page 4** Section A1.4: Allegations logged – what has been complained about (category) and the situational context of allegations (factors) **Page 5** Section A2: Allegations timeliness **Page 6** Section A3.1: How allegations were finalised and their decisions **Page 7** Section A3.2: Allegation decisions by what was complained about (category) **Page 8** Section B: Referrals **Page 9** Section C1: Reviews received and Section C2 Reviews timeliness **Page 10** Section C3: Decisions on LPB reviews **Page 11** Section C4: Decisions on IOPC reviews **Page 12** Section D1.1: Complaint cases timeliness outside of Schedule 3 **Page 13** Section D1.2: Complaint cases timeliness under Schedule 3 **Page 14** Section D2.1: Allegation actions – on complaint cases handled outside of Schedule 3 **Page 15** Section D2.2: Allegation actions – on complaint cases handled under Schedule 3 Page 16 Notes

Acronyms used in this bulletin

Force – Year to date force numbers, SPLY - Same period last year, MSF - Most similar force, LPB - Local policing body, PRA - Police Reform Act 2002, Inc. – Including

Ind – independent Investigation, Nat. – National, No. – Number, RPRP – Reflective Practice Review Process, UPP – Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure

Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Avon And Somerset, Cheshire, Derbyshire, Essex, Kent, Northamptonshire, Nottinghamshire, Staffordshire



Section A1.1: Complaint cases logged and initial handling

This section presents information relating to the force's contact with customers and their initial handling of the complaint cases. It shows how long, on average, it takes from the customer's perspective from when they made the complaint and the force's performance of the initial handling from when it received the complaint.

Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from timeliness measures. Please refer to the performance framework counting rules and calculations on the IOPC website for explanations of customer perspective, initial handling and invalid dates.

Average number of working days to contact complainants	Customer perspective	Initial perfo
Force	1	
SPLY	1	
MSF Average	7	
National	6	

Average number of working days to log complaint cases	Customer perspective	Initial perfo
Force	3	
SPLY	2	
MSF Average	7	
National	5	

Complaint cases logged

	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Complaint cases logged	1,316	952	1,856	85,458
Complaint cases logged per 1,000 employees	479	351	396	338


Reasons complaint cases are recorded under Schedule 3 of the PRA 2002

The below table presents the number of complaint cases handled under Schedule 3 of the PRA 2002 (formal handling) and the reasons why.

Reason complaint case recorded under Schedule 3

AA/body responsible for initial handling decides Complainant wishes the complaint be recorded Dissatisfaction after initial handling Nature of the allegation(s) in the complaint

Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Avon And Somerset, Cheshire, Derbyshire, Essex, Kent, Northamptonshire, Nottinghamshire, Staffordshire



Force		SI	PLY	MSF Ave	erage	National		
No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
85	18 %	73	21 %	477	44 %	13,962	43 %	
141	31 %	99	29 %	109	14 %	6,808	21 %	
120	26 %	84	25 %	154	22 %	4,779	15 %	
114	25 %	85	25 %	162	20 %	6,962	21 %	


Section A1.2: Allegations logged - what has been complained about

This section presents information that shows what people complain about. Allegation categories capture the root of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint. A complaint case will contain one or more allegations and one category is selected for each allegation logged.

Please refer to our <u>Guidance on capturing data about police complaints</u> for guidance on logging allegations and complaint category definitions.

•	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour	Abuse of position/ corruption	Individual behaviours	Sexual conduct	Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
Force	1,413	409	30	63	17	44	12	210	7	6	2	2,213
SPLY	914	325	38	36	10	48	11	196	2	5	0	1,585
MSF Average	1,908	748	99	71	36	113	71	514	9	22	28	3,617
National	80,544	32,410	4,836	3,089	1,758	4,575	1,838	19,514	324	1,019	1,630	151,537
Force	64 %	18 %	1 %	3 %	1 %	2 %	1 %	9 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	100 %
SPLY	58 %	21 %	2 %	2 %	1 %	3 %	1 %	12 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	100 %
MSF Average	53 %	21 %	3 %	2 %	1 %	3 %	2 %	14 %	0 %	1 %	1 %	100 %
National	53 %	21 %	3 %	2 %	1 %	3 %	1 %	13 %	0 %	1 %	1 %	100 %

What has been complained about



Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Avon And Somerset, Cheshire, Derbyshire, Essex, Kent, Northamptonshire, Nottinghamshire, Staffordshire

Reporting Period: 01 April 2023 - 31 March 2024 (Q4 2023/24)

Allegations logged

Allegations Logged Allegations logged per 1,000 employees

		 					 Force SPLY MSF Average National
							 National
ccess nd/or losure of rmation	Use of police vehicles		Individual behaviours	Sexual conduct	Discredita conduct	Other	

Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
2,213	1,585	3,618	151,539
806	585	746	599

Section A1.3: Allegations logged – what has been complained about – top five allegation categories and their subcategories

		Fo	orce	SPL	.Y	MSF /	Average	Nat	tional
Category	Subcategory	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Delivery of duties and service	Total	1,413	64 %	914	58 %	1,907	53 %	80,538	53 %
	General level of service	749	53 %	457	50 %	521	28 %	27,022	34 %
	Decisions	353	25 %	227	25 %	330	18 %	11,127	14 %
	Police action following contact	213	15 %	127	14 %	780	40 %	33,905	42 %
	Information	98	7 %	103	11 %	276	14 %	8,484	11 %
Police powers, policies and	Total	409	18 %	325	21 %	748	21 %	32,402	21 %
procedures	Use of force	92	22 %	76	23 %	204	27 %	8,552	26 %
	Other policies and procedures	66	16 %	57	18 %	54	8 %	3,545	11 %
	Power to arrest and detain	64	16 %	61	19 %	133	18 %	5,404	17 %
	Detention in police custody	62	15 %	41	13 %	124	16 %	4,406	14 %
	Evidential procedures	46	11 %	31	10 %	51	7 %	2,509	8 %
	Searches of premises and seizure of property	41	10 %	24	7 %	91	12 %	4,010	12 %
	Stops, and stop and search	20	5 %	15	5 %	26	4 %	1,755	5 %
	Bail, identification and interview procedures	10	2 %	12	4 %	48	6 %	1,694	5 %
	Out of court disposals	8	2 %	8	2 %	17	2 %	527	2 %
Individual behaviours	Total	210	9 %	196	12 %	514	14 %	19,513	13 %
	Impolite language / tone	58	28 %	41	21 %	124	26 %	5,035	26 %
	Unprofessional attitude and disrespect	57	27 %	56	29 %	141	27 %	5,604	29 %
	Impolite and intolerant actions	51	24 %	40	20 %	70	15 %	2,751	14 %
	Lack of fairness and impartiality	25	12 %	29	15 %	89	16 %	2,613	13 %
	Overbearing or harassing behaviours	19	9 %	30	15 %	92	16 %	3,510	18 %
Access and/or disclosure of	Total	63	3 %	36	2 %	71	2 %	3,089	2 %
information	Disclosure of information	44	70 %	31	86 %	54	74 %	2,084	67 %
	Handling of information	16	25 %	5	14 %	10	14 %	617	20 %
	Accessing and handling of information from other sources	2	3 %	0	0 %	3	5 %	144	5 %
	Use of police systems	1	2 %	0	0 %	5	7 %	243	8 %
	Decisions	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
Discriminatory behaviour	Total	44	2 %	48	3 %	113	3 %	4,575	3 %
	Race	21	48 %	25	52 %	50	44 %	2,279	50 %
	Disability	14	32 %	9	19 %	25	25 %	838	18 %
	Sex	4	9 %	7	15 %	22	17 %	645	14 %
	Other	4	9 %	7	15 %	7	6 %	393	9 %
	Sexual orientation	1	2 %	0	0 %	4	3 %	156	3 %
	Age	0	0 %	0	0 %	2	1 %	73	2 %
	Gender reassignment	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	1 %	45	1 %
	Marriage and civil partnership	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	4	0 %
	Pregnancy and maternity	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
	Religion or belief	0	0 %	0	0 %	3	3 %	141	3 %

Reporting Period: 01 April 2023 - 31 March 2024 (Q4 2023/24)

This section presents the five most commonly recorded categories for allegations that have been logged. A complaint case will contain one or more allegations and one category (and subcategory, where available) is selected for each allegation logged.

Total % is of the total number of allegations logged. Allegations where the subcategory is 'none' are omitted from this table.

Section A1.4: Allegations logged – What has been complained about (category) and the situational context of complaints (factors)


		Allegation category										
Factors	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Use of police vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour		Individual behaviours		Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
Investigation	798	74	13	29	0	13	4	58	0	0	1	990
Arrest	49	150	4	3	0	9	1	30	0	0	0	246
None	140	16	4	12	3	2	3	14	4	4	0	202
Firearms	107	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	116
Neighbourhood policing	69	14	0	1	0	1	0	29	0	0	0	114
Call Handling	88	2	0	3	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	111
Roads/traffic	47	25	1	2	14	5	1	13	0	1	0	109
Custody	23	59	0	0	0	6	0	13	0	0	0	101
Mental health	15	10	0	3	0	2	0	15	0	0	0	45
Domestic / gender abuse	26	7	0	3	0	2	0	3	0	0	1	42
Premises search	9	19	6	0	0	0	1	6	0	0	0	41
Stop and/or search	11	16	0	0	0	4	0	3	1	0	0	35
Drugs / alcohol	10	8	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	23
Child protection / CSA / CSE	6	5	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	15
Death	10	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	14
Fraud	7	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	12
Public order incident	1	3	0	2	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	11
Missing persons	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	10
Hate Crime	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	8
Social media	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	8
Police dogs or horses	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	5
Restraint equipment	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
VAWG - police perpetrated	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	3
VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Taser	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

This section presents information that shows what people are complaining about using a combination of allegation categories and factors. Categories capture the root of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint. Factors capture the situational context of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint. The combination of categories and factors provides a richer picture of what people are complaining about compared to the categories alone.

Each allegation should have a single category selected. However, multiple factors can be selected on a single allegation. Therefore, the sum of factors will not equal the total allegations logged in each category. Please refer to our <u>Guidance on capturing data about police complaints</u> for definitions of categories and factors.

Section A2: Allegations timeliness

Average number of working days to finalise allegations	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Outside of Schedule 3	8	7	14	18
Under Schedule 3 - not subject to investigation	118	116	91	106
Under Schedule 3 - by local investigation	238	233	181	191
Under Schedule 3 - by directed investigation	0	455	0	520
Under Schedule 3 - by independent investigation	0	0	217	322



Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Avon And Somerset, Cheshire, Derbyshire, Essex, Kent, Northamptonshire, Nottinghamshire, Staffordshire

Reporting Period: 01 April 2023 - 31 March 2024 (Q4 2023/24)

This section presents the time it takes the force to finalise allegations by how they were handled. It gives a breakdown of allegations handled informally outside of Schedule 3 and those that were handled formally by either by investigation or handled other than by investigation. Timeliness is calculated from the date the allegation was received by the force to the day the complainant is informed of the allegation decision.

Independent investigation figures do not include conduct or death/serious injury investigations which are also investigated by the IOPC.

Allegations with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the performance framework counting rules and calculations on the <u>IOPC website</u> for an explanation of invalid dates.

Page 5

Section A3.1: How allegations were handled and their decisions

This section presents a breakdown of how allegations were handled information and the decisions being given. An allegation decision is logged for each allegation finalised. The allegation decision reflects how the complaint case has been handled, with different means of handling. Both the allegation decisions and the subsequent actions available will depend on two things: firstly, whether the complaint case has been handled outside or under Schedule 3; and secondly, the means of handling where it has been dealt with under Schedule 3. Please refer to our <u>Guidance on capturing data about police complaints</u> for details of allegation decisions.

How allegations were handled

Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special proced Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures

Under Schedule 3 - not investigated

Outside of Schedule 3

Total

How allegations were handled	Out	side of S	Schedul	e 3	Un	Under Schedule 3 - notUnder Schedule 3 investigatedinvestigated(subject to special procedures)				ed Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)						
Allegation decision	Force No.	Force %	Nat. No.	Nat. %	Force No.	Force %	Nat. No.	Nat. %	Force No.	Force %	Nat. No.	Nat. %	Force No.	Force %	Nat. No.	Nat. %
No further action					103	11 %	5,116	8 %			9	0 %	2	1 %	703	4 %
Regulation 41 applies							162	0 %			5	0 %			181	1 %
Service provided - unable to determine					57	6 %	5,111	8 %			59	3 %	4	2 %	1,462	9 %
Service provided - not acceptable			1	0 %	114	12 %	8,389	13 %	3	7 %	86	4 %	23	11 %	2,014	12 %
Service provided - acceptable			1	0 %	647	<mark>69 %</mark>	42,794	67 %	21	<mark>47</mark> %	443	23 %	176	84 %	12,054	71 %
Not Resolved			4,102	7 %												
Resolved	1084	100 %	56,062	93 %												
No Case to Answer									9	20 %	818	42 %				
Case to Answer									12	<mark>2</mark> 7 %	500	26 %				
Withdrawal					15	2 %	2,060	3 %			39	2 %	5	2 %	486	3 %
Total	1084	48 %	60,166	42 %	936	41 %	63,632	45 %	45	2 %	1,959	1 %	210	9 %	16,900	12 %

	Force No.	Force %	MSF Average No.	MSF Average %	National No.	National %
edures)	210	9 %	483	12 %	16,901	12 %
res)	45	2 %	34	1 %	1,959	1 %
	936	<mark>4</mark> 1 %	1868	53 %	63,632	45 %
	1,084	<mark>48</mark> %	1062	34 %	60,166	42 %
	2,275	100 %	3448	100 %	142,658	100 %


Section A3.2: Allegation decisions by what was complained about (category)


This section presents information about allegations handled both informally, grouped by the allegation decision and the category selected on each allegation. Each allegation has a single category selected.

		Allegation category										
Allegation decisions	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Use of police vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour		Individual behaviours		Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
No further action	63	21	1	8	0	2	1	7	2	0	0	105
Regulation 41 applies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Service provided - unable to determine	33	13	2	0	0	1	0	8	1	3	0	61
Service provided - not acceptable	90	26	1	4	0	4	0	14	0	1	0	140
Service provided - acceptable	296	299	9	25	4	39	11	157	1	2	1	844
Not Resolved	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Resolved	898	82	21	24	13	6	1	36	1	1	1	1,084
No Case to Answer	1	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Case to Answer	3	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	12
Withdrawal	9	5	1	0	0	1	0	3	1	0	0	20

Section B: Referrals

	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Number referrals received	53	56	153	6,942
Number referrals completed	53	55	152	6,866
Decision: Independent Investigation	4	9	8	417
Decision: Directed Investigation	0	1	1	35
Decision: Local Investigation	33	31	99	4,419
Decision: Return to Force	14	14	41	1,870
Decision: Invalid	2	0	4	124





The figures presented in this section include all referrals that the force makes to the IOPC (received), not just those arising from complaints. When the IOPC receives a referral from the force, it reviews the information they have provided. The IOPC decides whether the matter requires an investigation, and the type of investigation. Referrals may have been completed in a different period to when they were received. Where a referral is made by the force on a mandatory basis but does not meet the matter may not fall within the IOPC's remit to assess and will be determined invalid. The sum of decisions may not match the number of referrals completed. This is because some to the attention of the appropriate authority before 1 February 2020 and have investigation type decisions of either managed or supervised.

Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Avon And Somerset, Cheshire, Derbyshire, Essex, Kent, Northamptonshire, Nottinghamshire, Staffordshire


Reporting Period: 01 April 2023 - 31 March 2024 (Q4 2023/24)

Page 8

Section C1: Reviews received

	Complaint cases finalised under Schedule 3		Reviews received as proportion of complaint cases finalised under Schedule 3	Number LPB reviews received - investigation	Number LPB reviews received - non-investigation	Number IOPC reviews received - investigation	Number IOPC reviews received - non-investigation
Force	444	94	21 %	1	74	9	10
SPLY	330	93	28 %	0	59	14	20
MSF Average	864	155	19 %	2	110	23	21
National	31,182	6,411	21 %	430	3,845	890	1,246


Section C2: Reviews timeliness



Where a complaint has been recorded under Schedule 3 to the Police Reform Act 2002, the complainant has a right to apply for a review. A person can apply for review if they are unhappy with the way their complaint was handled, or with the outcome. This applies whether the complaint has been investigated by the appropriate authority or handled otherwise than by investigation (non-investigation). The application for a review will be considered either by the local policing body or the IOPC; the relevant review body depends on the circumstances of the complaint. This section presents information about applications for review handled by both local policing bodies and the IOPC. Information about the right of review and who is the relevant review body is available in chapter 18 of the IOPC's Statutory Guidance on the police complaints system (February 2020). Reviews with 'invalid dates' have been removed from reviews timeliness. Please refer to the performance framework counting rules and calculations on the IOPC website for an explanation of invalid dates.

Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Avon And Somerset, Cheshire, Derbyshire, Essex, Kent, Northamptonshire, Nottinghamshire, Staffordshire

	Force	SPLY
Body reviews	18	15
	143	138
50		
60	80	100
	eviews • IOPC reviews	



Section C3: Decisions on LPB reviews

This section presents information about the decisions made on reviews that found the outcome of the complaint was not reasonable and proportionate, those which resulted in a recommendation and, if necessary, a direction to the appropriate authority in respect of any person serving with the police. Please note: Numbers are not available for the MSF Average - % only

LPB reviews found not reasonable and proportionate

		Investigation		Non-investigation			
•	Valid completed reviews	Found not reasonable and proportionate	% found not reasonable and proportionate	Valid completed reviews	Found not reasonable and proportionate	% found not reasonable and proportionate	
Force	0		0	70	19	27	
SPLY	0		0	50	10	20	
MSF Average			9			17	
National	393	99	25	3,712	796	21	
Force						27.1	
SPLY					20.0		
MSF Average		8.8		16.9			
National					21.4	25.2	
0	5	10	15		20	25	
		•	Investigation Non-investigation 				

LPB reviews resulting in recommendations

	Investigation			Non-investigation			
•	Found not reasonable and proportionate	Resulting in recommendations	% resulting in recommendations	Found not reasonable and proportionate	Resulting in recommendations	% resulting in recommendations	
Force			0	19	18	95	
SPLY			0	10	10	100	
MSF Average			25			83	
National	99	97	98	796	685	86	

Section C4: Decisions on IOPC reviews

This section presents information about the decisions made on reviews that found the outcome of the complaint was not reasonable and proportionate, those which resulted in a recommendation and, if necessary, a direction to the appropriate authority in respect of any person serving with the police.

IOPC reviews found not reasonable and proportionate

Investigation	Valid completed reviews	Outco reasonable
Force	13	
SPLY	4	
MSF Average	28	
National	864	


Non- investigation	Valid completed reviews	Outco reasonable
Force	21	
SPLY	8	
MSF Average	26	
National	1,254	

IOPC review recommendations and directions

Investigation	Outcome found not reasonable and proportionate	Recommendations made	Directions made	% resulting in direction
Force	3	0	2	67
SPLY	2	0	1	50
National	289	23	172	60
Non- investigation	Outcome found reasonable a proportionat	nd	ections made	% resulting in direction
▲ Force	7		4	57
▲ Force SPLY	7 5		4 3	57 60

Investigation	Outcome found not reasonable and proportionate	Recommendations made	Directions made	% resulting in direction	
Force	3	0	2	67	
SPLY	2	0	1 50		
National	289	23	172	60	
Non- investigation	Outcome found reasonable a proportionat	nd	ections made	% resulting in direction	
Force	7		4	57	
SPLY	5		3	60	
National	402		261	65	

IOPC recommendations figures include only those relating to subject outcomes, LPBs can also recommend remedial outcomes.




% IOPC reviews found outcome not reasonable and proportionate

Due to the low number of recommendations and directions, most similar force calculations are not available here.

Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Avon And Somerset, Cheshire, Derbyshire, Essex, Kent, Northamptonshire, Nottinghamshire, Staffordshire

Section D1.1: Complaint cases finalised outside of Schedule 3 - timeliness

Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
9	8	15	20



Reporting Period: 01 April 2023 - 31 March 2024 (Q4 2023/24)




Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Avon And Somerset, Cheshire, Derbyshire, Essex, Kent, Northamptonshire, Nottinghamshire, Staffordshire

Section D1.2: Complaint cases finalised under Schedule 3 - timeliness

Average number of working days to finalise complaint cases

	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Under Schedule 3 (inc suspension)	152	167	122	140
Under Schedule 3 (not inc suspension)	143	159	113	133



This section shows the time it takes the force to finalise complaint cases from the customer's perspective. It gives a breakdown of the time taken to finalise complaint cases handled handled formally under Schedule 3. Timeliness is calculated from the date the complaint was made.

Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the **<u>IOPC website</u>** performance framework counting rules and calculations on the for an explanation of invalid dates.

Section D2.1: Allegation actions - on complaint cases handled outside of Schedule 3

Actions following outside of Schedule 3 complaint cases Organisational learning

Learning from reflection Policy review Goodwill gesture Apology Debrief Explanation No further action Other action

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations on finalised complaint cases that resulted in the corresponding action. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our Guidance on capturing data about police complaints for details of actions available.

Please note: not all of the available actions arising from the complaint handling are shown. The actions presented in this section are those that focus on putting an issue right and preventing it from happening again by encouraging those involved to reflect on their actions and learn.

Force		SPLY		MSF Av	verage	National		
No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
0	0 %	1	0 %	3	0 %	167	0 %	
2	0 %	1	0 %	28	5 %	1346	3 %	
0	0 %	0	0 %	2	1 %	47	0 %	
0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	101	0 %	
6	1 %	3	0 %	53	6 %	4826	10 %	
0	0 %	1	0 %	4	1 %	437	1 %	
830	99 %	588	97 %	592	64 %	29826	59 %	
3	0 %	13	2 %	111	12 %	6964	14 %	
1	0 %	0	0 %	29	3 %	5261	10 %	

Section D2.2: Allegation actions - on complaint cases handled under Schedule 3

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations on finalised complaint cases that resulted in the corresponding action. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our Guidance on capturing data about police complaints for details of actions available. Please note: not all of the available actions arising from the complaint handling are shown. The actions presented in this section are those that focus on putting an issue right and preventing it from happening again by encouraging those involved to reflect on their actions and learn.

All complaint cases handled under Schedule 3

	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
Complaint cases resulting in below actions	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Organisational learning	15	3 %	3	1 %	31	3 %	648	2 %
Apology	34	8 %	30	9 %	41	5 %	1822	6 %
Debrief	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	378	1 %
Explanation	286	64 %	252	76 %	308	41 %	17815	57 %
Criminal proceedings	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	3	0 %
Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure (UPP)	1	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	28	0 %
No further action	125	28 %	47	14 %	433	46 %	9458	30 %
Other action	2	0 %	2	1 %	57	5 %	735	2 %
Learning from reflection	27	6 %	11	3 %	114	12 %	3404	11 %
Referral to RPRP	28	6 %	16	5 %	15	2 %	881	3 %

Misconduct, UPP and RPRP on complaints investigated under Schedule 3 (subject to Special Procedures)

Complaint cases handled under Schedule 3 that are investigated and subject to Special Procedures can result in misconduct proceedings

	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
Complaint cases resulting in below actions	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Misconduct proceedings	7	37 %	6	32 %	4	38 %	141	25 %
Criminal proceedings	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	1 %	3	1 %
Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure (UPP)	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	1 %	6	1 %
Other actions following a case to answer decision	0	0 %	1	5 %	0	1 %	20	4 %
Referral to RPRP	5	26 %	4	21 %	3	28 %	165	29 %

Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Avon And Somerset, Cheshire, Derbyshire, Essex, Kent, Northamptonshire, Nottinghamshire, Staffordshire

Notes

Data sources

Data in this bulletin is taken from XML data submissions made by forces to the IOPC every quarter except for IOPC performance data which is taken from the IOPC case management system.

Police force employee numbers are taken from the latest police workforce England and Wales statistics published by the Home Office. - The most similar force (MSF) groups used for the calculation of the MSF averages in this bulletin are those determined by HMICFRS. Please note that the British Transport Police

and City of London Police do not have an MSF group. A list of the MSF groups is available on the <u>IOPC website</u>.

Figures for City of London include complaint cases logged in relation to 'Action Fraud'. Action Fraud'. Action Fraud'. Action Fraud'. Action Fraud'. by the City of London Police, which is the national policing lead for economic crime.

Performance Framework counting rules and calculation

- The counting rules and calculations used to produce the data shown in this bulletin can be found on the IOPC website.

- Average times are presented in working days and do not include weekends or bank holidays.

Some percentages may add up to more or less than 100% due to rounding.

Complaint cases and allegations with invalid start/end dates have been removed from average time calculations. Therefore, the numbers of complaint cases and allegations used in the average time calculations may be lower than the total number of complaint cases and allegations logged or finalised.

Data constraints

- The data is sourced from live case management systems and provides a snapshot of information as it was at that time. Therefore, there may be variances between the information in this bulletin and information reported at a later date.

Further Information

- A glossary providing a full list of definitions used in this bulletin, can be found on the <u>IOPC website</u>.

- Additional information about the recording of police complaints, including the definitions of the complaint categories, can be found in appendix A of the IOPC's Guidance on capturing data about police complaints.

Information about how the police complaints system operates, who can complain and how reviews are dealt with can be found in the IOPC's Statutory Guidance on the police <u>complaints system (February 2020)</u>.