

Police Complaints Information Bulletin: Northumbria

Reporting Period: 01 April 2024 - 30 September 2024 (Q2 2024/25)

Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Cleveland, Gwent, Humberside, Lancashire, Northumbria, South Wales, South Yorkshire, West Yorkshire

About this bulletin

This bulletin presents information about complaints defined under the Police Reform Act 2002 (PRA 2002), as amended by the Police and Crime Act 2017. The legislation came into effect on 1 February 2020 (4 January 2021 for the British Transport Police).

It sets out performance against a number of measures and compares force results to their most similar force (MSF) group (where applicable) and with the overall result for all forces (national).

Please note: Unless stated otherwise, tables within the bulletin consist of 'year-to-date' figures covering all matters being started or completed between the two dates.

Q1: 1 April to 30 June, Q2: 1 April to 30 September, Q3: 1 April to 31 December, Q4: 1 April to 31 March

Where charts refer to separate quarters the dates are: Q1: 1 April to 30 June, Q2: 1 July to 30 September, Q3: October to 31 December, Q4 1 January to 31 March.

Contents

Page 1 Section A1.1: Complaints and allegations logged – totals and per 1,000 employees, Section A1.2: Means of handling – reasons a complaint is recorded under Schedule 3

Page 2 Section A1.3: Allegations logged – what has been complained about

Page 3 Section A1.4: Allegations logged – what has been complained about – top five allegation categories and their subcategories

Page 4 Section A1.5: National complaint factors as a proportion of allegations logged

Page 5 Section A1.6: National complaint factors on the top five allegation categories

Page 6 Section A2: Allegations timeliness

Page 7 Section A3.1: How allegations were finalised and their decisions

Page 8 Section A3.2: Allegation decisions by what was complained about (category)

Page 9 Section B1.1 Allegation actions on allegations handled outside of Schedule 3

Page 10 Section B1.2 Allegation actions on allegations handled under Schedule 3

Page 11 Section C1: Reviews received and timeliness

Page 12 Section C2: Outcomes on reviews

Page 13 Section D1: Complaint cases timeliness on Schedule 3 complaints

Page 14 Section D2: Complaint cases timeliness on Outside Schedule 3 complaints and how complaints are finalised, Section D3: How complaints are handled

Page 15 Section E: Referrals

Page 16 Notes

Acronyms used in this bulletin

YTD – Year to date figures, **SPLY** - Same period last year, **MSF** - Most similar force, **LPB** - Local policing body, **PRA** - Police Reform Act 2002


Nat. – National, **RPRP** – Reflective Practice Review Process, **UPP** – Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure

Section A1.1: Complaint cases and allegations logged



A complaint case is formed of one or more allegations. Numbers per 1,000 employees are used to demonstrate how the number of complaints/allegations against a force compare to their most similar force group and national figures. The force should contact the complainant and log the complaint as soon as possible after the complaint has been raised. Average to log/contact is from the customer perspective. Note: Number of employees is a fixed number through the period, therefore complaints and allegations per 1,000 employees is higher for the full period (as in the table) than when broken down in to quarters (as per the charts)

Year to date	Complaint cases logged	Complaints per 1,000 employees	Allegations Logged	Allegations per 1,000 employees	Average working days to contact complainants	Average working days to log complaints
Force	1,796	297	3,009	498	10	5
SPLY	1,580	260	3,103	512	4	3
MSF Average	1,100	212	1,850	354	8	10
National	45,593	179	80,142	314	7	6

Complaints logged per 1,000 employees



Allegations logged per 1,000 employees



Section A1.2: Reason for complaints to be logged under Schedule 3

For space reasons some figures in the above charts are not shown

Allegations should have an allegation category applied to them which will identify the root of what the allegation is about.

Forces can handle a complaint informally (known as Outside of Schedule 3), this should be used to deal with lower level complaints.

If a complaint is handled formally under Schedule 3, the force should record the reason why.

For more information on Schedule 3 of the Police Reform Act see here:

[Guidance on capturing data about police complaints.](#)

Reason complaint case recorded under Schedule 3 (YTD)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
AA/body responsible for initial handling decides	0	0	49	6,798
Complainant wishes the complaint be recorded	51	84	145	2,795
Dissatisfaction after initial handling	17	70	40	2,316
Nature of the allegation(s) in the complaint	180	302	125	3,441
Total	248	456	359	15,350

Reason complaint case recorded under Schedule 3 (YTD)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
AA/body responsible for initial handling decides	0 %	0 %	20 %	44 %
Complainant wishes the complaint be recorded	21 %	18 %	38 %	18 %
Dissatisfaction after initial handling	7 %	15 %	15 %	15 %
Nature of the allegation(s) in the complaint	73 %	66 %	27 %	22 %


Section A1.3: Allegations logged – what has been complained about (YTD)

This section presents the three most commonly recorded categories for allegations that have been logged. A complaint case will contain one or more allegations and one category (and sub-category, where available) is selected for each allegation logged. Total % is of the total number of allegations logged. Allegations where the subcategory is 'none' are omitted from this table.


What has been complained about (YTD)

Year to date	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Use of police vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour	Abuse of position/ corruption	Individual behaviours	Sexual conduct	Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
Force	1,373	630	112	107	37	72	23	544	2	3	106	3,009
SPLY	1,494	640	87	99	50	64	30	541	3	6	88	3,102
MSF Average	957	381	69	44	21	59	20	260	3	10	25	1,850
National	43,804	16,841	2,527	1,617	850	2,349	762	9,854	186	523	826	80,139

What has been complained about (force - year to date)



What has been complained about (national - year to date)



Section A1.4: Allegations logged – Top five allegation categories and their sub-categories (Year to date)

Category	Year to date Subcategory	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National		
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Delivery of duties and service	Total	1,373	46 %	1,494	48 %	957	54 %	43,801	55 %	
	Police action following contact	551	40 %	748	50 %	361	40 %	18,035	41 %	
	General level of service	446	32 %	306	20 %	318	32 %	14,604	33 %	
	Decisions	211	15 %	266	18 %	159	16 %	6,186	14 %	
	Information	165	12 %	174	12 %	120	13 %	4,976	11 %	
Police powers, policies and procedures	Total	630	21 %	640	21 %	381	20 %	16,837	21 %	
	Use of force	220	35 %	191	30 %	117	30 %	4,424	26 %	
	Detention in police custody	127	20 %	124	19 %	58	14 %	2,422	14 %	
	Power to arrest and detain	100	16 %	101	16 %	61	16 %	3,002	18 %	
	Searches of premises and seizure of property	88	14 %	92	14 %	64	19 %	2,094	12 %	
	Other policies and procedures	24	4 %	46	7 %	27	7 %	1,615	10 %	
	Evidential procedures	22	3 %	40	6 %	21	6 %	1,283	8 %	
	Bail, identification and interview procedures	19	3 %	13	2 %	16	5 %	955	6 %	
	Out of court disposals	16	3 %	8	1 %	5	1 %	249	1 %	
	Stops, and stop and search	14	2 %	25	4 %	13	3 %	793	5 %	
	Individual behaviours	Total	544	18 %	541	17 %	260	13 %	9,853	12 %
		Impolite and intolerant actions	151	28 %	89	16 %	46	16 %	1,498	15 %
		Overbearing or harassing behaviours	130	24 %	127	23 %	45	15 %	1,756	18 %
Unprofessional attitude and disrespect		125	23 %	126	23 %	71	32 %	2,782	28 %	
Impolite language / tone		76	14 %	129	24 %	68	26 %	2,449	25 %	
Lack of fairness and impartiality		62	11 %	70	13 %	30	11 %	1,368	14 %	
Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Total	112	4 %	69	2 %	69	4 %	2,467	3 %	
	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	112	100 %	69	79 %	69	100 %	2,466	98 %	
	Police action following contact	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	
Access and/or disclosure of information	Total	107	4 %	99	3 %	44	2 %	1,617	2 %	
	Handling of information	57	53 %	18	18 %	12	19 %	340	21 %	
	Disclosure of information	46	43 %	60	61 %	26	68 %	1,086	67 %	
	Accessing and handling of information from other sources	3	3 %	14	14 %	2	4 %	62	4 %	
	Use of police systems	1	1 %	7	7 %	4	9 %	128	8 %	
	Decisions	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	
	Information	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	

This section presents the three most commonly recorded categories for allegations that have been logged. A complaint case will contain one or more allegations and one category (and sub-category, where available) is selected for each allegation logged. Total % is of the total number of allegations logged. Allegations where the subcategory is 'none' are omitted from this table.

Section A1.5: National complaint factors

Year to date Factors on all allegations	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged	Allegations Logged	% Allegations Logged
None	346	11 %	423	14 %	294	19 %	15,525	19 %
Investigation	215	7 %	346	11 %	608	34 %	29,355	37 %
Arrest	163	5 %	193	6 %	243	12 %	10,232	13 %
Custody	96	3 %	161	5 %	107	5 %	4,574	6 %
Domestic / gender abuse	42	1 %	69	2 %	103	6 %	4,125	5 %
Neighbourhood policing	38	1 %	90	3 %	74	4 %	3,752	5 %
Roads/traffic	38	1 %	54	2 %	107	6 %	4,731	6 %
Mental health	28	1 %	52	2 %	65	3 %	2,317	3 %
VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	26	1 %	89	3 %	55	3 %	3,054	4 %
Call Handling	23	1 %	45	1 %	70	4 %	3,424	4 %
Premises search	21	1 %	54	2 %	53	3 %	1,958	2 %
Stop and/or search	19	1 %	11	0 %	19	1 %	1,618	2 %
Restraint equipment	18	1 %	31	1 %	14	1 %	855	1 %
Public order incident	14	0 %	7	0 %	20	1 %	645	1 %
VAWG - police perpetrated	10	0 %	58	2 %	6	0 %	539	1 %
Child protection / CSA / CSE	7	0 %	22	1 %	27	1 %	1,370	2 %
Death	7	0 %	17	1 %	24	1 %	759	1 %
Missing persons	7	0 %	6	0 %	17	1 %	514	1 %
Hate Crime	5	0 %	16	1 %	13	1 %	468	1 %
Serious injury	5	0 %	7	0 %	2	0 %	168	0 %
Social media	4	0 %	6	0 %	10	0 %	330	0 %
Drugs / alcohol	2	0 %	6	0 %	29	1 %	897	1 %
Fraud	2	0 %	0	0 %	7	0 %	485	1 %
Taser	2	0 %	1	0 %	2	0 %	105	0 %
Coronavirus - police powers on infectiou	1	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
Covert policing	1	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	48	0 %
Police dogs or horses	1	0 %	1	0 %	1	0 %	54	0 %
Prejudicial and improper behaviour	1	0 %	3	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
Coronavirus - other	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
Coronavirus - police powers on restricti	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
Firearms	0	0 %	3	0 %	6	0 %	400	0 %
PPDA	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	7	0 %
PPDA - Police victim	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	3	0 %
Unknown	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	20	0 %
VAWG' - dissatisfaction handling	0	0 %	0	0 %	2	0 %	14	0 %
VAWG' - police victim	0	0 %	0	0 %	2	0 %	14	0 %
VAWG - police victim	0	0 %	0	0 %	3	0 %	88	0 %

This section presents information that shows the situational context of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint.

Each allegation should have a single category selected. However, multiple factors can be selected on a single allegation. Therefore, the sum of factors will not equal the total allegations logged in each category and the percentages here will add up to over 100%. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for definitions of categories and factors.

Section A1.6: National complaint factors on top five allegation categories

Factors on top five allegation categories (Year to date)	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Individual behaviours
VAWG - police perpetrated	0	8	0	0	1
VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	12	1	0	1	10
Taser	0	2	0	0	0
Stop and/or search	2	12	0	0	3
Social media	1	1	0	1	0
Serious injury	5	0	0	0	0
Roads/traffic	20	6	1	0	4
Restraint equipment	0	18	0	0	0
Public order incident	10	1	0	0	2
Premises search	4	14	3	0	0
Prejudicial and improper behaviour	1	0	0	0	0
Police dogs or horses	0	1	0	0	0
None	142	36	15	22	93
Neighbourhood policing	25	1	0	0	11
Missing persons	4	2	0	0	1
Mental health	13	12	0	0	3
Investigation	165	20	2	3	17
Hate Crime	3	0	0	0	1
Fraud	2	0	0	0	0
Drugs / alcohol	2	0	0	0	0
Domestic / gender abuse	23	2	0	2	9
Death	4	0	3	0	0
Custody	9	73	1	0	12
Coronavirus - police powers on infectiou	1	0	0	0	0
Child protection / CSA / CSE	1	1	1	1	3
Call Handling	15	1	0	0	7
Arrest	15	130	1	0	13
Total	422	285	26	29	177

This section presents information that shows what people are complaining about using a combination of allegation categories and factors against the police force.

Categories capture the root of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint. Factors capture the situational context of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint.

The combination of categories and factors provides a richer picture of what people are complaining about compared to the categories alone.

Each allegation should have a single category selected. However, multiple factors can be selected on a single allegation. Therefore, the sum of factors will not equal the total allegations logged in each category. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for definitions of categories and factors.

The table below shows a breakdown of allegations logged with the focus national complaint factors.

IOPC Police Data Year Quarter	VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	VAWG - police perpetrated	VAWG - police victim	Total
Q2 23/24	55	27	0	82
Q3 23/24	32	9	0	41
Q4 23/24	28	9	0	37
Q1 24/25	19	6	0	25
Q2 24/25	7	4	0	11
Total	141	55	0	196


Section A2: Allegations timeliness

This section presents the time it takes the force to finalise allegations by how they were handled. It gives a breakdown of allegations handled informally outside of Schedule 3 and those that were handled formally by either by investigation or handled other than by investigation. Timeliness is calculated from the date the allegation was received by the force to the day the complainant is informed of the allegation decision.



Independent investigation figures do not include conduct or death/serious injury investigations which are also investigated by the IOPC.

Allegations with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the performance framework counting rules and calculations on the [IOPC website](#) for an explanation of invalid dates.

Year to date Allegations	Outside of Schedule 3		Under Schedule 3 - not subject to investigation		Under Schedule 3 - by local investigation		Under Schedule 3 - by independent investigation	
	Number Finalised	Average days	Number Finalised	Average days	Number Finalised	Average days	Number Finalised	Average days
Force	1,355	24	152	122	866	172	0	0
SPLY	1,470	15	122	91	730	124	0	0
MSF Average	806	18	665	125	231	212	2	204
National	33,250	20	35,230	105	8,680	206	109	282



Year to date Allegations	Under Schedule 3 - by directed investigation	
	Number Finalised	Average days
Force	0	0
SPLY	0	0
MSF Average	0	0
National	16	544




Section A3.1: How allegations were handled and their decisions

This section presents a breakdown of how allegations were handled information and the decisions being given. An allegation decision is logged for each allegation finalised. The allegation decision reflects how the complaint case has been handled, with different decisions available for the different means of handling. Both the allegation decisions and the subsequent actions available will depend on two things: firstly, whether the complaint case has been handled outside or under Schedule 3; and secondly, the means of handling where it has been dealt with under Schedule 3. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for details of allegation decisions.

How allegations were handled (Year to date)	Force No.	Force %	MSF Average No.	MSF Average %	National No.	National %
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	854	36 %	220	12 %	7,922	10 %
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	12	1 %	13	1 %	883	1 %
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	152	6 %	665	37 %	35,230	46 %
Outside of Schedule 3	1,355	57 %	806	50 %	33,250	43 %
Total	2,373	100 %	1703	100 %	77,285	100 %

Force: percent of allegations finalised by handling method



How allegations were handled (Year to date)	Outside of Schedule 3				Under Schedule 3 - not investigated				Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)				Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)			
	Force No.	Force %	National No.	National %	Force No.	Force %	National No.	National %	Force No.	Force %	National No.	National %	Force No.	Force %	National No.	National %
No further action					5	3 %	2,768	8 %			9	1 %	4	0 %	242	3 %
Regulation 41 applies					11	7 %	59	0 %			1	0 %	3	0 %	93	1 %
Service provided - unable to determine					13	9 %	3,238	9 %			10	1 %	89	10 %	770	10 %
Service provided - not acceptable					3	2 %	4,563	13 %			26	3 %	128	15 %	900	11 %
Service provided - acceptable					36	24 %	23,538	67 %	3	25 %	129	15 %	594	70 %	5,675	72 %
Not Resolved			1,876	6 %												
Resolved	1355	100 %	31,373	94 %												
No Case to Answer									4	33 %	512	58 %				
Case to Answer									5	42 %	180	20 %				
Withdrawal					84	55 %	1,063	3 %			16	2 %	36	4 %	242	3 %


Section A3.2: Allegation decisions by what was complained about (Year to date by category)

This section presents information about allegations handled both informally and formally, grouped by the allegation decision and the category selected on each allegation. Each allegation has a single category selected.

Outside Schedule 3 allegation decisions

Allegation decisions	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Use of police vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour	Abuse of position/ corruption	Individual behaviours	Sexual conduct	Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
Resolved	711	196	60	39	29	22	3	277	1	0	17	1,355
Not Resolved	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Schedule 3 allegation decisions



Section B1.1: Allegation actions - on allegations handled outside of Schedule 3 (Year to date)

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation (action). Actions are captured at allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations on finalised complaint cases that resulted in the corresponding action. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for details of actions available.

Please note: not all of the available actions arising from the complaint handling are shown. The actions presented in this section are those that focus on putting an issue right and preventing it from happening again by encouraging those involved to reflect on their actions and learn.

Actions following outside of Schedule 3 complaint cases	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised
Organisational learning	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	132	0 %
Learning from reflection	86	6 %	192	13 %	16	2 %	935	3 %
Policy review	1	0 %	1	0 %	0	0 %	32	0 %
Goodwill gesture	2	0 %	3	0 %	1	0 %	52	0 %
Apology	40	3 %	43	3 %	80	14 %	3,241	10 %
Debrief	1	0 %	18	1 %	7	2 %	311	1 %
Explanation	303	22 %	368	25 %	472	59 %	20,147	61 %
No further action	448	33 %	273	19 %	114	12 %	3,760	11 %
Other action	300	22 %	57	4 %	89	10 %	4,135	12 %

Section B1.2: Allegation actions - on allegations handled under Schedule 3 (Year to date)

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation (action). Actions are captured at allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations on finalised complaint cases that resulted in the corresponding action. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#) for details of actions available. Please note: not all of the available actions arising from the complaint handling are shown. The actions presented in this section are those that focus on putting an issue right and preventing it from happening again by encouraging those involved to reflect on their actions and learn.

All complaint cases handled under Schedule 3

Actions following Schedule 3 complaint cases	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised
Organisational learning	6	1 %	3	0 %	7	1 %	398	1 %
Apology	29	3 %	17	2 %	40	4 %	1,605	4 %
Debrief	0	0 %	0	0 %	10	1 %	1,343	3 %
Explanation	689	68 %	573	67 %	584	65 %	27,139	62 %
Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure (UPP)	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	11	0 %
No further action	148	15 %	148	17 %	177	21 %	9,817	22 %
Other action	4	0 %	5	1 %	4	1 %	432	1 %
Learning from reflection	100	10 %	78	9 %	58	6 %	2,263	5 %
Referral to RPRP	38	4 %	24	3 %	11	1 %	671	2 %

Misconduct, UPP and RPRP on complaints investigated under Schedule 3 (subject to Special Procedures)


Complaint cases handled under Schedule 3 that are investigated and subject to Special Procedures can result in misconduct proceedings

Actions following Schedule 3 (special procedures) cases	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised	Allegations Finalised	% Allegations Finalised
Misconduct proceedings	0	0 %	4	80 %	3	17 %	77	9 %
Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure (UPP)	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	2	0 %
Other actions following a case to answer decision	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	2 %	64	7 %
Referral to RPRP	2	17 %	0	0 %	1	5 %	161	18 %


Section C1: Reviews received and timeliness (Year to date)

This section presents data on the number of reviews received about this force by the IOPC and by the local policing body. The charts enable you to see the proportion of each review type being received by the two bodies. For information on when the IOPC should be the review body, please see our [Statutory Guidance](#)

Non-investigation reviews received	LPB	IOPC
Force	1	5
SPLY	3	7
MSF Average	38	20
National	1,850	721




Investigation reviews received	LPB	IOPC
Force	41	30
SPLY	49	32
MSF Average	6	11
National	159	470



Complaints are not finalised until after any reviews are completed. However, this measure helps to provide an indication of how often reviews are made in comparison to the number of complaints completed.

	Reviews received	Schedule 3 complaints finalised
Force	77	383
SPLY	91	384
MSF Average	74	367
National	3,200	15,484



	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Average number of working days to complete Local Policing Body reviews	17	12	29	49
Average number of working days to complete IOPC reviews	166	206	135	138


Section C2: Outcomes on reviews

This section presents information about the decisions made on reviews including the proportion of reviews that found the outcome of the complaint was not reasonable and proportionate, those which resulted in a recommendation and, if necessary, a direction to the appropriate authority in respect of any person serving with the police. Please note: Numbers are not available for the MSF Average - % only. Contact the IOPC for specific recommendations and directions made as these are not available in this data set


Investigation reviews (YTD)	Completed investigation (IOPC)	Upheld investigation (IOPC)	Completed investigation (LPB)	Upheld investigation (LPB)
Force	33	5	34	6
SPLY	40	13	46	16
MSF Average	10	2	5	6
National	453	139	157	39

Non-investigation reviews (YTD)	Completed non-investigation (IOPC)	Upheld non-investigation (IOPC)	Completed non-investigation (LPB)	Upheld non-investigation (LPB)
Force	7	3	2	
SPLY	3	3	3	
MSF Average	15	5	39	7
National	452	144	1,825	363

% IOPC reviews upheld - Force



% LPB Reviews upheld - Force






Section D1: Complaint cases finalised under Schedule 3 - timeliness

This section shows the time it takes the force to finalise complaint cases from the customer's perspective. It gives a breakdown of the time taken to finalise complaint cases handled formally under Schedule 3. Timeliness is calculated from the date the complaint was made. On cases under Schedule 3, the below figures include the time a case may spend suspended due to criminal matters.

Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the [IOPC website](#) performance framework counting rules and calculations on the for an explanation of invalid dates. For more information on the proportion of complaints handled under each method (along with outside if Schedule 3) please see page 14

Average working days to finalise (Year to date)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	404	203	241	310
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	185	157	259	221
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	131	138	134	118
Total	182	154	150	140

Number finalised (Year to date)	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	47	64	284	12,474
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	330	315	77	2,681
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	6	5	6	329
Total	383	384	367	15,484



Section D2: Complaint cases finalised outside of Schedule 3 - timeliness


This section shows the time it takes the force to finalise complaint cases from the customer's perspective. It gives a breakdown of the time taken to finalise complaint cases handled formally under Schedule 3. Timeliness is calculated from the date the complaint was made. On cases under Schedule 3, the below figures include the time a case may spend suspended due to criminal matters.

Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the [IOPC website](#) performance framework counting rules and calculations on the for an explanation of invalid dates.

Year to date

	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Complaint cases handled outside of Schedule 3	1032	1033	649	27766
Average days to finalise complaint cases handled outside of Schedule 3	23	14	18	22

Outside Schedule 3



Section D3: How complaint cases handled

This section shows the proportion of complaint cases handled by the force, most similar for group and nationally under each method of handling. The table covers data recorded in the period as per 'Reporting Period' above, while the below chart concerns the force only using the last four quarters of available data.

Means Of Handling	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised	Complaints Finalised	% Finalised
Outside of Schedule 3	1,032	73%	1,033	73%	649	64%	27,766	64%
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	47	3%	64	5%	284	28%	12,474	29%
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	330	23%	315	22%	77	8%	2,681	6%
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	6	0%	6	0%	6	1%	329	1%
Total	1,415	100%	1,418	100%	1,016	100%	43,250	100%

Force: percent of complaint cases finalised by handling method

Means Of Handling • Outside of Schedule 3 • Under Schedule 3 - not investigated • Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures) • Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)



Section E: Referrals

The figures presented in this section include all referrals that the force makes to the IOPC (received), not just those arising from complaints.

When the IOPC receives a referral from the force, it reviews the information they have provided. The IOPC decides whether the matter requires an investigation, and the type of investigation.

Referrals may have been completed in a different period to when they were received.


Where a referral is made by the force on a mandatory basis but does not meet the mandatory referral criteria, the matter may not fall within the IOPC’s remit to assess and will be determined invalid.

The sum of decisions may not match the number of referrals completed. This is because some matters referred may have come to the attention of the appropriate authority before 1 February 2020 and have investigation type decisions of either managed or supervised.

Year to date

	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Number referrals received	111	126	80	3,490
Number referrals completed	111	128	80	3,490
Decision: Independent Investigation	3	4	4	206
Decision: Directed Investigation	0	1	0	5
Decision: Local Investigation	42	56	40	1,935
Decision: Return to Force	65	66	34	1,262
Decision: Invalid	1	1	2	80

Force and MSF Group referrals received



Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Cleveland, Gwent, Humberside, Lancashire, Northumbria, South Wales, South Yorkshire, West Yorkshire

Notes

Data sources

- Data in this bulletin is taken from XML data submissions made by forces to the IOPC every quarter except for IOPC performance data which is taken from the IOPC case management system.
- Police force employee numbers are taken from the latest [police workforce England and Wales statistics](#) published by the Home Office.
- The most similar force (MSF) groups used for the calculation of the MSF averages in this bulletin are those determined by HMICFRS. Please note that the British Transport Police and City of London Police do not have an MSF group. A list of the MSF groups is available on the [IOPC website](#).
- Figures for City of London include complaint cases logged in relation to 'Action Fraud'. Action Fraud is the UK's national reporting centre for fraud and cybercrime. The service is run by the City of London Police, which is the national policing lead for economic crime.

Performance Framework counting rules and calculation

- The counting rules and calculations used to produce the data shown in this bulletin can be found on the [IOPC website](#).
- Average times are presented in working days and do not include weekends or bank holidays.
- Some percentages may add up to more or less than 100% due to rounding.
- Complaint cases and allegations with invalid start/end dates have been removed from average time calculations. Therefore, the numbers of complaint cases and allegations used in the average time calculations may be lower than the total number of complaint cases and allegations logged or finalised.

Data constraints

- The data is sourced from live case management systems and provides a snapshot of information as it was at that time. Therefore, there may be variances between the information in this bulletin and information reported at a later date.

Further Information

- A glossary providing a full list of definitions used in this bulletin, can be found on the [IOPC website](#).
- Additional information about the recording of police complaints, including the definitions of the complaint categories, can be found in appendix A of the IOPC's [Guidance on capturing data about police complaints](#).
- Information about how the police complaints system operates, who can complain and how reviews are dealt with can be found in the IOPC's [Statutory Guidance on the police complaints system \(February 2020\)](#).