Reporting Period: 01 April 2020 - 31 March 2021 (Q4 2020/21)

Appropriate Authority: Kent



Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Staffordshire, Derbyshire, Nottinghamshire, Northamptonshire, Hertfordshire, Essex, Kent, Avon And Somerset

About this bulletin

This bulletin presents information about complaints defined under the *Police Reform Act 2002 (PRA 2002)*, as amended by the *Police and Crime Act 2017*. The new legislation came into effect on 1 February 2020 (4 January 2021 for the British Transport Police).

It sets out performance against a number of measures and compares force results to their most similar force (MSF) group and with the overall result for all forces (national).

Data relating to complaints made prior to 1 February 2020 will be reported separately and in line with the previous performance framework

Please note: data about complaints handled outside of Schedule 3 to the *PRA 2002* by Bedfordshire Police, Cambridgeshire Constabulary, Hertfordshire Constabularly and Northumbria Police is not included in this bulletin because of technical issues. This will have an effect on the MSF averages, which include these forces, and national figures. The IOPC is working with these forces to ensure reporting of these complaint cases is possible in the future.

Contents

Section A1:1: complaint cases and allegations logged – timeliness and per 1,000 emplyees

Section A1.2: allegations logged – what has been complained about

Section A1.3: allegations logged – what has been complained about – top five allegation categories and their subcategories

Section A1.4: allegations logged – what has been complained about (category) and the situational context of allegations (factors)

Section A2: how complaint cases and allegations have been handled

Section A3.1: complaints cases finalised - timeliness

Section A3.2: allegations finalised – how they have been handed and timeliness

Section A4.1: allegation decisions – by how they were handled

Section A4.2: allegation decisions – by what was complained about (category)

Section B.1: investigations (all investigation types) – timeliness

Section B.2: investigations (by type of investigation) - timeliness

Section C: referrals

Section D1: reviews received

Section D2: reviews timeliness

Section D3: decisions on reviews

Section E1.1: allegation actions – on complaint cases handled outside of Schedule 3

Section E1.2: allegation actions – on complaint cases handled under Schedule 3

Section E2: focus on RPRP, UPP, misconduct and criminal outcomes (for complaint cases handled under

Schedule 3)

Notes

Acronyms used in this bulletin

Select an	authority	
Select all		
Avon And S	Somerset	
Bedfordshi	re	
British Tran	nsport	
Cambridge	shire	
Cheshire		
City of Lone	don	
Cleveland		
Cumbria		
Derbyshire		
Devon And	Cornwall	
Dorset		
Durham		
Dyfed-Pow	'ys	
Essex		
Gloucester	shire	
Greater Ma	anchester	
Gwent		
Hampshire		
Hertfordshi	ire	
Humbersid	e	
Kent		
Lancashire		
Leicestersh	nire	
Lincolnshir	e	
Merseyside	3	
Metropolita	1	
National		
Norfolk		
North Wale	2S	
North Yorks	shire	
Northampto	onshire	
Northumbri	ia	
Nottingham	nchir <u>e</u>	

Select snapshot date
Q3 FY 2020/21
Q4 FY 2013/14
Q4 FY 2014/15
Q4 FY 2015/16
Q4 FY 2016/17
Q4 FY 2017/18
Q4 FY 2018/19
Q4 FY 2019/20
Q4 FY 2020/21
Select reporting period
Select reporting period 2020/21
2020/21
2020/212019/20
2020/212019/202018/19
 2020/21 2019/20 2018/19 2017/18 2016/17 2015/16
 2020/21 2019/20 2018/19 2017/18 2016/17 2015/16 2014/15
 2020/21 2019/20 2018/19 2017/18 2016/17 2015/16 2014/15 2013/14
 2020/21 2019/20 2018/19 2017/18 2016/17 2015/16 2014/15 2013/14 2012/13
 2020/21 2019/20 2018/19 2017/18 2016/17 2015/16 2014/15 2013/14 2012/13 2011/12
 2020/21 2019/20 2018/19 2017/18 2016/17 2015/16 2014/15 2013/14 2012/13

Select PCA 2017

Select publication data

(Blank)

Exclude

Include

yes

Reporting Period: 01 April 2020 - 31 March 2021 (Q4 2020/21)

Appropriate Authority: Kent



Section A1.1: complaint cases and allegations logged – timeliness and per 1,000 employees

	To contact cu	ustomers	To log complaint cases				
Average number of working days		Customer perspective					
Force	5	6	10	13			
MSF Average	4	5	5	6			
National	7	7	6	6			


Please note: average times presented in the above table are rounded to the nearest whole number. In comparison, the charts below present the exact result.


	Force	MSF Average	National
No. of allegations logged per 1,000 employees	645	563	467
No. of complaint cases logged per 1,000 employees	515	324	290

Notes

This section presents information relating to the force's contact with customers and their initial handling of the complaint cases. It shows how long, on average it takes from the customer's perspective from when they made the complaint, and the force's performance of the initial handling from when it received the complaint.

Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from timeliness measures. Please refer to the performance framework counting rules and calculations on the <u>IOPC website</u> for explanations of customer perspective, initial handling and invalid dates.






Reporting Period: 01 April 2020 - 31 March 2021 (Q4 2020/21)

Appropriate Authority: Kent



Section A1.2: allegations logged - what has been complained about

		Delivery of duties and service	powers,	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Use of police vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour	Abuse of position/corruption	Individual behaviours	Sexual conduct	Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
	Force	2,594	741	113	114	34	166	36	427	2	19	251	4,497
Number	MSF Average	1,356	511	75	70	24	97	44	400	4	18	43	2,641
INGITIOGI	National	52,300	23,368	3,553	2,845	1,104	3,764	1,490	18,073	199	648	1,807	109,151
	Force	58%	16%	3%	3%	1%	4%	1%	9%	0%	0%	6%	
Percentage	MSF Average	50%	20%	3%	3%	1%	4%	2%	16%	0%	1%	1%	
	National	48%	21%	3%	3%	1%	3%	1%	17%	0%	1%	2%	



Notes

This section presents information that shows what people complain about. Allegation categories capture the root of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint. A complaint case will contain one or more allegations and one category is selected for each allegation logged.

Please refer to our Guidance on capturing data about police complaints for guidance on logging allegations and complaint category definitions.

Reporting Period: 01 April 2020 - 31 March 2021 (Q4 2020/21)

Appropriate Authority: Kent



Section A1.3: allegations logged – what has been complained about – top five allegation categories and their subcategories

		For	ce	MSF A		Natio	
Category	Subcategory	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Delivery of duties and service	Total	2,594	58 %	1,356	50 %	52,300	48 %
	Police action following contact	764	29 %	650	48 %	23,155	44 %
	Decisions	200	8 %	142	12 %	7,524	14 %
	General level of service	1,538	59 %	422	27 %	16,612	32 %
	Information	91	4 %	142	12 %	5,006	10 %
		1	0 %	1	0 %	3	0 %
□ Police powers, policies and procedures	Total	741	16 %	511	20 %	23,368	21 %
	Stops, and stop and search	35	5 %	31	6 %	2,275	10 %
	Searches of premises and seizure of property	83	11 %	67	14 %	3,168	14 %
	Power to arrest and detain	112	15 %	67	13 %	3,407	15 %
	Detention in police custody	93	13 %	77	14 %	3,176	14 %
	Bail, identification and interview procedures	31	4 %	22	4 %	702	3 %
	Use of force	161	22 %	138	27 %	6,752	29 %
	Evidential procedures	57	8 %	25	4 %	1,212	5 %
	Out of court disposals	5	1 %	16	3 %	311	1 %
	Other policies and procedures	164	22 %	70	15 %	2,362	10 %
						3	0 %
□ Discriminatory behaviour	Total	166	4 %	97	4 %	3,764	3 %
	Age	6	4 %	3	4 %	58	2 %
	Disability	28	17 %	14	13 %	487	13 %
	Gender reassignment	1	1 %	3	3 %	35	1 %
	Marriage and civil partnership					4	0 %
	Pregnancy and maternity			1	1 %	5	0 %
	Race	87	52 %	61	62 %	2,375	63 %
	Religion or belief	1	1 %	2	3 %	91	2 %
	Sex	25	15 %	13	13 %	340	9 %
	Sexual orientation	2	1 %	2	3 %	92	2 %
	Other	16	10 %	5	5 %	277	7 %
☐ Individual behaviours	Total	427	9 %	400	16 %	18,073	17 %
	Unprofessional attitude and disrespect	131	31 %	99	25 %	4,687	26 %
	Lack of fairness and impartiality	76	18 %	79	20 %	2,954	16 %
	Overbearing or harassing behaviours	45	11 %	90	21 %	3,419	19 %
	Impolite language / tone	107	25 %	94	23 %	4,645	26 %
	Impolite and intolerant actions	68	16 %	38	11 %	2,367	13 %
	Other neglect or failure in duty			1	0 %	1	0 %
□ Other	Total	251	6 %	43	1 %	1,807	2 %
	Other	251	100 %	43	100 %	1,804	100 %
						3	0 %

Notes

This section presents the five most commonly recorded categories for allegations that have been logged.

A complaint case will contain one or more allegations and one category (and sub-category, where available) is selected for each allegation logged.

Total % is of the total number of allegations logged.

Reporting Period: 01 April 2020 - 31 March 2021 (Q4 2020/21)

Appropriate Authority: Kent



Section A1.4: allegations logged – what has been complained about (category) and the situational context of complaints (factors)

					Alle	gation cates	jory					
Factors	Delivery of duties and service		or damage	Access and/or disclosure of information		behaviour		behaviours			Other	Total
Arrest	69	163	3	1		15	1	13			12	277
Call Handling	142	10		4		2		44			8	210
Child protection / CSA / CSE	8	2		2		1						13
Coronavirus – other	66	18				4		22			18	128
Coronavirus – police powers on infectiou	1	2										3
Coronavirus – police powers on restricti	23	9				1		3				36
Covert policing		1										1
Custody	44	105	3		1	1		14		1	5	174
Death	5	2	1								1	9
Domestic / gender abuse	23	4				2		5			3	37
Drugs / alcohol	5	3	1					1			1	11
Firearms	1	2										3
Fraud	5	1		2			2			1		11
Hate Crime	7		1			2						10
Investigation	1,209	167	32	29	5	39	11	102			62	1,656
Mental health	19	9		3		6		17			4	58
Missing persons	7	1						1				9
Neighbourhood policing	59	9		2		1		12			3	86
None	772	118	56	67	10	67	15	167	2	4	120	1,398
Premises search	18	28	13			1		2			1	63
Public order incident	16	16						2		12	1	47
Restraint equipment		11				1						12
Roads/traffic	61	25			18	5	3	12		1	10	135
Serious injury	1	4						1				6
Social media	4	3		2				1			1	11
Stop and/or search	5	19	3			6		3			3	39
Taser	1	2										3

Notes

This section presents information that shows what people are complaining about using a combination of allegation categories and factors.

Categories capture the root of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint. Factors capture the situational context of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint. The combination of categories and factors provides a richer picture of what people are complaining about compared to the categories alone.

Each allegation should have a single category selected. However, multiple factors can be selected on a single allegation. Therefore, the sum of factors will not equal the total allegations logged in each category.

Please refer to our <u>Guidance on capturing data about police complaints</u> for definitions of categories and factors.

Reporting Period: 01 April 2020 - 31 March 2021 (Q4 2020/21)


Appropriate Authority: Kent



Section A2: how complaint cases and allegations have been handled

	Force		MSF Av	erage	National	
Reason complaint case handled under Schedule 3	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Nature of the allegation(s) in the complaint	80	9%	140	18%	5,811	16%
Dissatisfaction after initial handling	209	23%	69	9%	2,889	8%
Complainant wishes the complaint be recorded	45	5%	114	15%	12,440	34%
AA/body responsible for initial handling decides	564	63%	441	58%	15,225	42%

	Ford	:e	MSF Av	rerage	National	
How allegations were handled	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Outside of Schedule 3	2,418	64%	910	39%	32,012	38%
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	1,160	31%	1,150	58%	45,205	54%
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	11	0%	11	1%	688	1%
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	204	5%	162	9%	5,845	7%



Notes

This section presents the number of complaint cases handled under Schedule 3 of the PRA 2002 and the reasons why. A breakdown of how allegations were handled, including whether they were investigated under Schedule 3 or otherwise than by investigation (not investigated) is also given.

Please note that figures presented in the second table may be under-representing the number of allegations finalised under Schedule 3 and investigated (not suject to special procedures), instead grouping some of these allegations as finalised under Schedule 3 and not investigated. This is because police forces were not able to consistently and accurately collect this data on their systems until the implementation of an upgrade from November 2020.

Reporting Period: 01 April 2020 - 31 March 2021 (Q4 2020/21)

Appropriate Authority: Kent




Section A3.1: complaint cases finalised - timeliness


Average number of working days to finalise complaint cases	Force	MSF Average	National
Outside of Schedule 3	29	18	25
Under Schedule 3 (inc suspension)	87	75	73
Under Schedule 3 (not inc suspension)	87	74	72

Notes

This section shows the time it takes the force to finalise complaint cases from the customer's perspective. It gives a breakdown of the time taken to finalise complaint cases handled outside of Schedule 3 and those that are being handled under Schedule 3 from the date the complaint was made.

Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the performance framework counting rules and calculations on the <u>IOPC website</u> for an explanation of invalid dates.





Reporting Period: 01 April 2020 - 31 March 2021 (Q4 2020/21)

Appropriate Authority: Kent



Section A3.2: allegations finalised - how they have been handled and timeliness

	Force		MSF Av	rerage	National		
How allegations were handled	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Outside of Schedule 3	2,418	64%	910	39%	32,012	38%	
Under Schedule 3 - not subject to investigation	1,160	31%	1,150	58%	45,205	54%	
Under Schedule 3 - by local investigation	215	6%	153	8%	6,496	8%	
Under Schedule 3 - by independent investigation			1	0%	37	0%	


Average number of working days to finalise allegations	Force	MSF Average	National
Outside of Schedule 3	19	14	20
Under Schedule 3 - not subject to investigation	65	55	57
Under Schedule 3 - by local investigation	95	87	106
Under Schedule 3 - by independent investigation		223	130


Notes

This section shows the time it takes the force to finalise allegations by how they were handled. It gives a breakdown of allegations handled outside Schedule 3 and those that were handled under Schedule 3, which were either investigated or handled other than by investigation. Timeliness is calculated from the date the allegation was received by the force.

At present, allegations finalised by directed investigations are being included under 'local investigation'. The IOPC is working with force IT suppliers to ensue that reporting on this is possible in the future.

Allegations with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the performance framework counting rules and calculations on the <u>IOPC website</u> for an explanation of invalid dates.





Reporting Period: 01 April 2020 - 31 March 2021 (Q4 2020/21)

Appropriate Authority: Kent



Section A4.1: allegation decisions - by how they were handled

How allegations were handled ▲	Force %	Force No.	National %	National No.
Outside of Schedule 3	64 %	2,418	38 %	32,011
Under Schedule 3 - not investigated	31 %	1,160	54 %	45,201
Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)	0 %	11	1 %	688
Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)	5 %	204	7 %	5,845
Total	100 %	3,793	100 %	83,745

How allegations were handled	Out	side of S	Schedu	ile 3	Un		Schedule 3 - not under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)									
Allegation decision				Nat. No.				Nat. No.		Force		Nat. No.				Nat. No.
	%	No.	%		%	No.	%		%	No.	%		%	No.	%	
No further action					28 %	326	14 %	6,252			1 %	10	29 %	59	10 %	572
Regulation 41 applies							0 %	55			0 %	2			0 %	27
Service provided - unable to determine					4 %	50	4 %	1,906			1 %	4	2 %	4	3 %	147
Service provided - not acceptable					15 %	176	11 %	5,153			1 %	10	11 %	23	10 %	561
Service provided - acceptable			0 %	8	47 %	540	66 %	29,644			14 %	99	53 %	109	73 %	4,279
Not Resolved	7 %	170	6 %	1,955												
Resolved	93 %	2,248	94 %	30,048												
No Case to Answer									73 %	8	59 %	403				
Case to Answer									27 %	3	20 %	136				
Withdrawal					6 %	68	5 %	2,191			3 %	24	4 %	9	4 %	259
Total	64 %	2,418	38 %	32,011	31 %	1,160	54 %	45,201	0 %	11	1 %	688	5 %	204	7 %	5,845

Notes

This section presents information about the decisions being given on allegations. An allegation decision is logged for each allegation finalised.

The allegation decision reflects how the complaint case has been handled, with different decisions available for the different means of handling. Both the allegation decisions and the subsequent actions available will depend on two things: firstly, whether the complaint case has been handled outside or under Schedule 3; and secondly, the means of handling where it has been dealt with under Schedule 3.

Please note that figures presented may be under-representing the number of allegations finalised under Schedule 3 and investigated (not suject to special procedures), instead grouping some of these allegations as finalised under Schedule 3 and not investigated. This is because police forces were not able to consistantly and accurately collect this data on their systems until the implementation of an upgrade from November 2020.

Please refer to our <u>Guidance on capturing data about police complaints</u> for details of allegation decisions.

Reporting Period: 01 April 2020 - 31 March 2021 (Q4 2020/21)

Appropriate Authority: Kent



Section A4.2: allegation decisions – by what was complained about (category)

		Allegation category										
Allegation decisions ▼	Delivery of duties and service		or damage	Access and/or disclosure of information	Use of police vehicles	behaviour		behaviours			Other	Total
No further action	236	50	7	25		15	12	32	2	1	5	385
Service provided - unable to determine	23	11	1	1		8	1	9				54
Service provided - not acceptable	137	28	4	7	1	8		14				199
Service provided - acceptable	326	182	11	13		47	7	55		2	6	649
Not Resolved	39	18	5	3		2	2	13		1	87	170
Resolved	1,432	267	67	37	31	13	1	242		12	146	2,248
No Case to Answer	2	2		1		1		1			1	8
Case to Answer	1	2										3
Withdrawal	46	12	2	3		8		6				77

Notes

This section presents information about allegations finalised grouped by the allegation decision and the category selected on each allegation. Each allegation has a single category selected.


Reporting Period: 01 April 2020 - 31 March 2021 (Q4 2020/21)

Appropriate Authority: Kent




Section B1: investigations (all investigation types) - timeliness

Investigations active for	Force	MSF Average	National
0-3 months	60%	63%	53%
3-6 months	29%	23%	23%
6-9 months	7%	14%	17%
9-12 months	4%	38%	8%
12-18 months			
More than 18 months			




Investigations completed in	Force	MSF Average	National
0-3 months	45%	60%	43%
3-6 months	39%	42%	37%
6-9 months	12%	13%	17%
9-12 months	4%	4%	3%
12-18 months			
More than 18 months			



Allegations finalised by investigation (all types) - timeliness

	Year allegation finalised				
Average number of working days	2019/20	2020/21			
Force	19	69			
MSF Average	18	60			
National	16	63			





Notes

This section presents information about complaints handled under Schedule 3 that are investigated. It covers complaint cases investigated by both the force and the IOPC.

The data shows how long investigations have been open (active) at the end of the period being reported. Also, the time it takes for allegations that have been investigated to be finalised.

Allegations with 'invalid dates' have been removed from finalised timeliness. Please refer to the performance framework counting rules and calculations on the <u>IOPC website</u> for an explanation of invalid dates.


Reporting Period: 01 April 2020 - 31 March 2021 (Q4 2020/21)

Appropriate Authority: Kent




Section B2: investigations (by type of investigation) - timeliness

Investigations active for	Loc	Ind	AII
0-3 months	59 %		59 %
3-6 months	30 %		30 %
6-9 months	7 %		7 %
9-12 months	5 %		5 %
12-18 months			
More than 18 months			




Investigations completed in	Loc	AII
0-3 months	45 %	45 %
3-6 months	39 %	39 %
6-9 months	12 %	12 %
9-12 months	4 %	4 %
12-18 months		
More than 18 months		



Allegations finalised by investigation - timeliness

	Year allegation finalised				
Average number of working days	2019/20	2020/21			
Local	19	69			
Independent					
AII	19	69			



Notes

This section presents information about complaint cases handled under Schedule 3 that are investigated, by the type of investigation. It covers complaint cases investigated by both the force and the IOPC.

The data shows how long investigations have been open (active) at the end of the period being reported. Also, the time it takes for allegations that have been investigated to be finalised by the type of investigation. At present, allegations finalised by directed invetigations are being included under 'local investigation'. The IOPC is working with force IT suppliers to ensure that reporting on this is possible in the future.

Allegations with 'invalid dates' have been removed from finalised timeliness. Please refer to the performance framework counting rules and calculations on the <u>IOPC website</u> for an explanation of invalid dates.


Reporting Period: 01 April 2020 - 31 March 2021 (Q4 2020/21)

Appropriate Authority: Kent



Section C: referrals

	Force	Force %	MSF Average	MSF Average %	National	National %
Number referrals received	200		97		4,542	
Number referrals completed	199		97		4,546	
Decision: Independent Investigation	18	9%	10	10%	577	13%
Decision: Directed Investigation	1	1%	2	3%	47	1%
Decision: Local Investigation	140	70%	60	59%	2,712	60%
Decision: Return to Force	38	19%	24	27%	1,153	25%
Decision: Invalid	1	1%	0	0%	28	1%



Notes

The figures presented in this section include all referrals that the force makes to the IOPC (received), not just those arising from complaints.

When the IOPC receives a referral from the force, it reviews the information they have provided. The IOPC decides whether the matter requires an investigation, and the type of investigation.

Referrals may have been completed in a different period to when they were received.

Where a referral is made by the force on a mandatory basis but does not meet the mandatory referral criteria, the matter may not fall within the IOPC's remit to assess and will be determined invalid.

The sum of decisions may not match the number of referrals completed. This is because some matters referred may have come to the attention of the appropriate authority before 1 February 2020 and have investigation type decisions of either managed or supervised.

Reporting Period: 01 April 2020 - 31 March 2021 (Q4 2020/21)

Appropriate Authority: Kent




Section D1: reviews received

	Complaint cases finalised under Schedule 3	Reviews received	Reviews received as proportion of complaint cases finalised under Schedule 3		Number LPB reviews received - non-investigation		Number IOPC reviews received - non-investigation
Force	665	153	23%	7	119	16	11
MSF Average	543	108	20%	15	74	13	6
National	23,243	5,371	23%	1,156	3,246	478	491

Section D2: reviews timeliness

	Force	MSF Average	National
Average number of working days to complete Local Policing Body reviews	25	32	36
Average number of working days to complete IOPC reviews	40	48	47



Notes

Where a complaint has been recorded under Schedule 3 to the *Police Reform Act 2002*, the complainant has a right to apply for a review. A person can apply for review if they are unhappy with the way their complaint was handled, or with the outcome. This applies whether the complaint has been investigated by the appropriate authority or handled otherwise than by investigation (non-investigation). The application for a review will be considered either by the local policing body or the IOPC; the relevant review body depends on the circumstances of the complaint.

This section presents information about applications for review handled by both local policing bodies and the IOPC.

Information about the right of review and who is the relevant review body is available in chapter 18 of the IOPC's Statutory Guidance on the police complaints system (February 2020).

Reviews with 'invalid dates' have been removed from reviews timeliness. Please refer to the performance framework counting rules and calculations on the IOPC website for an explanation of invalid dates.

Reporting Period: 01 April 2020 - 31 March 2021 (Q4 2020/21)

Appropriate Authority: Kent




Section D3: decisions on reviews


% LPB reviews found complaint case outcome not reasonable and proportionate

	Force	MSF Average	National
Investigation	29	30	18
Non-investigation	6	13	14

% IOPC reviews found complaint case outcome not reasonable and proportionate

	Force	MSF Average	National
Investigation	25	37	32
Non-investigation	29	51	32





% LPB reviews resulting in...

	Force	MSF Average	National
Recommendation made			

% IOPC reviews resulting in...

	Force	MSF Average	National		
Recommendation made	0	0	0		
Direction	17	27	23		
Extra work commissioned	0	8	1		

Notes

This section presents information about the decisions made on reviews by local policing bodies and the IOPC. It includes the proportion of reviews where the relevant review body found the outcome of the complaint was not reasonable and proportionate and those that resulted in a recommendation and, if necessary, a direction to the appropriate authority in respect of any person serving with the police.

The percentage of reviews the relevant review body determines to be not reasonable and proportionate excludes any reviews that were deemed invalid. Invalid reviews may, for example, include applications that were made outside of the 28 day period to submit an application.

Where the IOPC does not have enough information to decide on a review of a police force investigation, they are able to request that the force undertakes further work to gather additional evidential material. This is known as commissioning further work. The proportion of reviews completed where additional work was commissioned are shown in this section.

Reporting Period: 01 April 2020 - 31 March 2021 (Q4 2020/21)

Appropriate Authority: Kent



Section E1.1: allegation actions - on complaint cases handled outside of Schedule 3

		Force		MSF Average		ional
Actions following outside of Schedule 3 complaint cases	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Learning from Reflection	42	2%	19	1%	205	1%
No further action	438	18%	169	24%	8793	31%
Explanation	1047	44%	399	46%	11617	41%
Debrief	23	1%	6	1%	470	2%
Apology	161	7%	44	5%	2000	7%
Goodwill gesture	5	0%	19	3%	144	1%
Policy review	2	0%	3	0%	54	0%
Individual learning	307	13%	64	3%	722	3%
Organisational learning	89	4%	16	1%	235	1%

Notes

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation (action). Actions are captured at allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations on finalised complaint cases that resulted in the corresponding action. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our Guidance on capturing data about police complaints for details of actions available.

Please note: not all of the available actions arising from the complaint handling are shown. The actions presented in this section are those that focus on putting an issue right and preventing it from happening again by encouraging those involved to reflect on their actions and learn.

Reporting Period: 01 April 2020 - 31 March 2021 (Q4 2020/21)

Appropriate Authority: Kent



Section E1.2: allegation actions - on complaint cases handled under Schedule 3

		Force		MSF Average		ional
Actions following Schedule 3 complaint cases	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Organisational learning	68	10%	15	3%	456	2%
Individual learning	85	13%	30	5%	1218	5%
Policy review			2	0%	48	0%
Goodwill gesture	1	0%	2	0%	21	0%
Apology	20	3%	20	4%	890	4%
Debrief			3	0%	183	1%
Explanation	57	9%	82	19%	5602	24%
Misconduct proceedings			2	0%	18	0%
Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure (UPP)			2	0%	6	0%
No further action	400	60%	360	64%	13279	57%
Other action	16	2%	10	2%	341	1%
Other actions following a case to answer decision			2	0%	7	0%
Referral to RPRP	14	2%	17	3%	815	4%

Notes

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation (action). Actions are captured at allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations on finalised complaint cases that resulted in the corresponding action. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our Guidance on capturing data about police complaints for details of actions available.

Please note: not all of the available actions arising from the complaint handling are shown. The actions presented in this section are those that focus on putting an issue right and preventing it from happening again by encouraging those involved to reflect on their actions and learn.

Reporting Period: 01 April 2020 - 31 March 2021 (Q4 2020/21)

Appropriate Authority: Kent



Section E2: focus on RPRP, UPP, misconduct and criminal outcomes (for complaint cases handled under Schedule 3)

RPRP and learning

Number of complaint cases resulting in below actions	2019/20	2020/21
Learning		
Force		151
National	10	1661
□ RPRP		
Force		14
National	6	815

Percentage of complaint cases resulting in below actions	2019/20	2020/21
Learning		
Force		23%
National	3%	7%
□ RPRP		
Force		2%
National	2%	4%

Percentage of complaint cases resulting in learning or RPRP			
20 %			
15 %			
10 %			
5 %			
0 %	2020/21		
■ Learning - Force ■ Learning - National ■			

RPRP, UPP, misconduct and criminal

	Force		MSF A	verage	National	
Percentage of complaint cases resulting in below actions	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
UPP			2	0%	6	0%
Misconduct hearing			1	0%	3	0%
Misconduct meeting			2	0%	15	0%
RPRP	14	2%	17	3%	815	4%

Notes

This section focusses on what happened as a result of the complaint case (action) in relation to RPRP, UPP, and a case to answer for misconduct.

Actions are captured at allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on a single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations on finalised complaint cases that resulted in the corresponding action. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%. More than one UPP, RPRP, misconduct meeting and misconduct hearing can result from a single allegation, therefore the numbers shown do not represent the number of these processes which occurred in the period.

Reporting Period: 01 April 2020 - 31 March 2021 (Q4 2020/21)

Appropriate Authority: Kent



Notes

Data sources

- Complaint cases data is taken from XML data submissions made by forces to the IOPC every quarter.
- IOPC performance data is taken from the IOPC case management system.
- Police force employee numbers are taken from the latest police workforce England and Wales statistics published by the Home Office.
- The most similar force (MSF) groups used for the calculation of the MSF averages in this bulletin are those determined by the Home Office. Please note that the British Transport Police and City of London Police do not have an MSF group. A list of the MSF groups is available on the IOPC website.

Performance Framework counting rules and calculation

- The counting rules and calculations used to produce the data shown in this bulletin can be found on the IOPC website.
- Average times are presented in working days and do not include weekends or bank holidays.
- Some percentages may add up to more or less than 100% due to rounding.
- Complaint cases and allegations with invalid start/end dates have been removed from average time calculations. Therefore, the numbers of complaint cases and allegations used in the average time calculations may be lower than the total number of complaint cases and allegations logged or finalised.

Data constraints

- The data is sourced from live case management systems and provides a snapshot of information as it was at that time. Therefore, there may be variances between the information in this bulletin and information reported at a later date.

Further Information

- A glossary providing a full list of definitions used in this bulletin, can be found on the IOPC website.
- Additional information about the recording of police complaints, including the definitions of the complaint categories, can be found in appendix A of the IOPC's Guidance on capturing data about police complaints.
- Information about how the police complaints system operates, who can complain and how reviews are dealt with can be found in the IOPC's Statutory Guidance on the police complaints system (February 2020).

Reporting Period: 01 April 2020 - 31 March 2021 (Q4 2020/21)

Appropriate Authority: Kent



Acronyms used in this bulletin

Force – year to date force numbers

IOPC – Independent Office for Police Conduct

Inc. – including

Ind – independent investigation

Loc – local investigation

LPB – local policing body

MSF – most similar force

Nat. – National

No. – number

PRA – the Police Reform Act 2002

RPRP – reflective practice review process

UPP – unsatisfactory performance procedure