Reporting period: 01 April 2019 to 31 March 2020

Table A: Key Indicators					
Measure	Year to date actual	Count	Same period last year	MSF average	National result
IOPC appeals upheld					
% IOPC investigation appeals upheld	41%	19	28%	37%	34%
% IOPC local resolution appeals upheld	100%	1	0%	53%	49%
% IOPC non recording appeals upheld	30%	12	52%	43%	40%
% IOPC disapplication appeals upheld	0%	0	0%	0%	10%
% IOPC discontinuance appeals upheld	0%	0	0%	14%	22%
Force appeals upheld and completed					
% force investigation appeals upheld	33%	1	10%	17%	15%
% force local resolution appeals upheld	13%	31	10%	19%	16%
% force disapplication appeals upheld	0%	0	4%	2%	13%
% force discontinuance appeals upheld	0%	0	100%	0%	11%
Ave number days to complete all force appeals	114		114	39	46
Complaint cases - timeliness					
% complaint cases recorded within 10 days	98%	1256	88%	79%	86%
Ave number of days to finalise complaint cases (not inc suspension)	132		150	105	100
Ave number of days to finalise complaint cases (inc suspension)	133		151	114	107
Allegations - timeliness					
Ave number of days to locally resolve allegations	113		118	82	73
Ave number of days to finalise allegations by local investigation	177		206	171	151
Ave number of days to finalise allegations by supervised investigation	0		0	147	863
Table B: Allegations	•			•	
Measure	Year to date actual	Count	Same period last year	MSF average	National result
Allegations recorded					
Number of allegations recorded per 1000 employees	198		249	219	243
% of Other neglect or Failure in duty allegations	38%	819	38%	37%	41%
0/ of Individity, impeditors and intolorance allegations	16%	347	17%	14%	12%
% of Incivility, impoliteness and intolerance allegations		•			
% of incivility, impoliteness and intolerance allegations % of Other assault allegations	8%	179	8%	9%	7%
			8% 6%	9% 5%	
% of Other assault allegations	8%	179			4%
% of Other assault allegations % of Lack of fairness and impartiality allegations	8% 6%	179 125	6%	5%	4%
% of Other assault allegations % of Lack of fairness and impartiality allegations % of Discriminatory behaviour allegations	8% 6%	179 125	6%	5%	4% 3%
% of Other assault allegations % of Lack of fairness and impartiality allegations % of Discriminatory behaviour allegations Allegations finalised	8% 6% 4%	179 125 97	6% 4%	5% 3%	4% 3% 48%
% of Other assault allegations % of Lack of fairness and impartiality allegations % of Discriminatory behaviour allegations Allegations finalised % allegations locally resolved	8% 6% 4%	179 125 97 1742	6% 4%	5% 3% 54%	4% 3% 48% 39%
% of Other assault allegations % of Lack of fairness and impartiality allegations % of Discriminatory behaviour allegations Allegations finalised % allegations locally resolved % allegations investigated	8% 6% 4% 65% 23%	179 125 97 1742 617	6% 4% 64% 22%	5% 3% 54% 33%	7% 4% 3% 48% 39% 1% 5%
% of Other assault allegations % of Lack of fairness and impartiality allegations % of Discriminatory behaviour allegations Allegations finalised % allegations locally resolved % allegations investigated % allegations discontinued	8% 6% 4% 65% 23% 1%	179 125 97 1742 617 26	6% 4% 64% 22% 1%	5% 3% 54% 33% 2%	48% 39% 48% 39%

Table C: IOPC performance					
Measure	Year to date actual	Count	Same period last year	MSF average	National result
Ave number of days to complete referrals	3		3		
Casework - timeliness					
Ave number of days to forward appeals to the RAB	3		1		
Ave number of days to complete IOPC dispensations, disapplications and discontinuances	35		0		
Ave number of days to complete IOPC investigation appeals	46		37		
Ave number of days to complete IOPC local resolution appeals	39		33		
Ave number of days to complete IOPC non-recording appeals	31		20		
Ave number of days to complete IOPC disapplication appeals	71		24		
Ave number of days to complete IOPC discontinuance appeals	0		0		
Investigations - timeliness					
Ave number of days to finalise allegations by independent investigation	307		347	332	409
Ave number of days to finalise allegations by managed investigation	0		0	0	0

Notes

This bulletin presents figures on complaints recorded under the 2012 complaints regime only. The 2012 complaints regime is governed by the Police (Complaints and Misconduct) Regulations 2012. On 1 February 2020, these were replaced by the Police (Complaints and Misconduct) Regulations 2020. Complaints recorded from 1 February 2020 are not included in this bulletin.

Table C:

RAB refers to 'relevant appeal body'. Both Chief Officers of police forces and the IOPC are appeal bodies and consider appeals. The measure 'Ave number of days to forward appeals to the RAB' captures how long it takes the IOPC to determine that an appeal it has received should have been made to the force and to forward it to that force.

Data sources:

Complaint case and allegation data is taken from XML data submissions made by forces to the IOPC every quarter.

IOPC performance data is taken from the IOPC case management system.

Police force employee numbers are taken from the publication Police Workforce, England and Wales, 31 March 2019 (National Statistics).

The Most Similar Force (MSF) groups for the calculation of the MSF averages used in this bulletin are those determined by the Home Office. Please note that British Transport Police and City of London Police do not have an MSF group. A list of the MSF groups is available on the IOPC website: https://policeconduct.gov.uk/research-and-learning/statistics/complaints-statistics

Performance Framework counting rules and calculations:

The counting rules and calculations used to produce the data shown in this bulletin can be found on the IOPC website: https://policeconduct.gov.uk/research-and-learning/statistics/complaints-statistics

Data constraints:

The data is sourced from live case management systems and provides a snap shot of information as it was at that time. Therefore, there may be variances between the information in this bulletin and information reported at a later date.


Further information:

A glossary providing a full list of definitions used in these bulletins, can be found on the IOPC website: https://policeconduct.gov.uk/research-and-learning/statistics/complaints-statistics

Additional information about the recording of police complaints, including the definitions of the allegation categories, can be found in the *Guidance on the Recording of Complaints Under the Police Reform Act 2002* on the IOPC website: https://policeconduct.gov.uk/research-and-learning/statistics/complaints-statistics

nformation about how the police complaints system operates, who can complain and how appeals are dealt with can be found in the *IOPC Statutory Guidance* (2015) on the IOPC website: https://policeconduct.gov.uk/complaints-and-appeals/statutory-quidance.


Complaint cases and allegations recorded




The line chart shows the number of complaint cases and allegations recorded in each quarter of each financial year. The bar chart shows the number of allegations recorded in each category this year to date.

A complaint case can have more than one allegation attached to it. From Q3 2012/13, the number of allegations recorded includes allegation categories that previously did not fall within the police complaints system.

Definitions of the allegation categories can be found in the *IOPC Guidance Recording of Complaints*




Complaint cases recorded within 10 working days




The line chart shows the percentage of complaint cases recorded within 10 working days in each quarter in each financial year.

The bar chart shows this information for each force for this year to date, compared to the national result.

Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown in these charts – refer to the *Performance Framework counting rules and calculations* on the IOPC website for an explanation of invalid dates.



Allegations recorded per 1,000 employees




The line chart shows the number of allegations recorded for every 1,000 force employees in each quarter in each financial year.


The bar chart shows this information for each force for this year to date, compared to the national result.

'Employees' means individuals employed by a force who fall within specific employee groups - refer to the Performance Framework counting rules and calculations on the IOPC website for further information.






Allegations finalised - time taken to finalise allegations by local resolution




The line chart shows the average number of working days it has taken to finalise allegations by local resolution in each quarter in each financial year.

The bar chart shows this information for each force for this year to date, compared to the national result.

Allegations with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown – refer to the *Performance Framework counting rules and calculations* on the IOPC website for an explanation of invalid dates.



Allegations finalised - time taken to finalise allegations by local investigation




The line chart on this page shows the average number of working days it has taken to finalise allegations that were investigated locally (i.e. by the police force) in each quarter in each financial year.


The bar chart shows this information for each force for this year to date, compared to the national result.

Allegations with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown - refer to the Performance Framework counting rules and calculations on the IOPC website for an explanation of invalid dates.






Allegations finalised - time taken to finalise allegations by supervised investigation




The line chart shows the average number of working days it has taken to finalise allegations by supervised investigations (investigations conducted by forces under the supervision of the IOPC) in each quarter in each financial year.

The bar chart shows this information for each force for this year to date, compared to the national result.

Allegations with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown – refer to the *Performance Framework counting rules and calculations* on the IOPC website for an explanation of invalid dates.




Complaint cases finalised - time taken to finalise complaint cases (including suspension)



The line chart shows the average number of working days it has taken to finalise complaint cases in each quarter in each financial year.


The bar chart shows this information for each force for this year to date, compared to the national result.


Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown – refer to the *Performance Framework counting rules and calculations* on the IOPC website for an explanation of invalid dates.





Force appeals
















The line chart shows the average number of working days it has taken police forces to complete appeals (all appeal types) in each quarter in each financial year.


The bar charts show the number of appeals received, valid completed and upheld this year to date by police forces for each appeal type. 'Valid completed' excludes appeals where there was no right of appeal, i.e. invalid appeals, and any appeals that were withdrawn.


Force appeals data is only available from 2013/14. From 1 January 2016, the British Transport Police consider appeals. Before this date all appeals relating to the British Transport Police were considered by the IOPC.


IOPC appeals














The line chart shows the average number of working days it has taken the IOPC to complete appeals (all appeal types) in each quarter in each financial year

The bar charts show the number of appeals received, valid completed and upheld this year to date by the IOPC for each appeal type. 'Valid completed' excludes appeals where there was no right of appeal, i.e. invalid appeals.

Data Tables - IOPC data

Table D: No. of referrals received

	Previou	ıs Year		Current Year				
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
56	52	54	57	74	74	95	86	

Table E: No. mode of investigation (MOI) decisions made

MOI decision		Previou	ıs Year		Current Year					
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
Independent	19	18	7	7	12	11	17	21		
Managed	0	0	1	3	1	0	1	1		
Supervised	3	1	0	1	0	0	3	2		
Local	28	30	40	34	49	58	63	46		
Refer back	6	4	3	10	11	5	10	13		

Table F: IOPC appeals received, completed and upheld

Appeal type		Previou	ıs Year			Current Year				
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
Inv received	7	23	10	18	10	15	10	7		
Inv completed	7	20	12	16	9	16	13	11		
Inv valid completed	7	20	12	15	7	16	13	10		
Inv upheld	3	1	4	7	2	7	7	3		
% inv upheld	43%	5%	33%	47%	29%	44%	54%	30%		
LR received	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1		
LR completed	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1		
LR valid completed	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1		
LR upheld	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
% LR upheld	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%		
NR received	5	13	14	9	14	5	7	9		
NR completed	3	9	18	4	11	10	10	10		
NR valid completed	3	8	17	3	11	10	9	10		
NR upheld	2	4	9	1	1	4	4	3		
% NR upheld	67%	50%	53%	33%	9%	40%	44%	30%		
Disapp received	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1		
Disapp completed	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1		
Disapp valid completed	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1		
Disapp upheld	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
% disapp upheld	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Discon received	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Discon completed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Discon valid completed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Discon upheld	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
% discon upheld	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		

The figures in Tables D and E include all referrals, not just those arising from complaints. Table D shows the number of referrals received in each quarter. Table E shows the IOPC MOI decision for referrals completed in each quarter. The sum of the figures for each quarter in Table E may be different to the figures in Table D as a referral may be received in one quarter and completed in another. In addition, the figures in Table E do not include referrals with a mode of investigation decision of 'invalid'.

Table F shows the number of appeals received, completed and upheld by the IOPC in each quarter for each appeal type. 'Inv' refers to investigation appeals, 'LR' to local resolution appeals, 'NR' to non recording appeals, 'Disapp' to disapplication appeals and 'Discon' to discontinuance appeals. Data for disapp and discon appeals is not available prior to 11 March 2013. 'Valid completed' excludes appeals where there was no right of appeal, i.e. invalid appeals. The calculation for the % appeals upheld excludes any appeals that were deemed invalid.

Data tables - Allegations finalised

Table G: Means allegations finalised by

Means			Previou	ıs Year		Current Year				
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
I	No.	112	191	152	174	153	142	144	178	
Investigation	%	23%	21%	27%	21%	23%	21%	22%	27%	
Local recolution	No.	283	637	331	543	443	475	424	400	
Local resolution	%	57%	69%	58%	66%	66%	69%	66%	60%	
1400	No.	42	34	72	46	47	53	36	54	
Withdrawn	%	9%	4%	13%	6%	7%	8%	6%	8%	
Discontinued	No.	7	10	2	6	4	4	8	10	
Discontinued	%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	
Discoulind	No.	47	46	7	47	17	4	25	22	
Disapplied	%	10%	5%	1%	6%	3%	1%	4%	3%	
Diamanaad	No.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dispensed	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

Table H: Allegations finalised by investigation type

Investigation type			Previo	us Year		Current Year				
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
Independent	No.	0	10	13	1	9	14	13	10	
Independent	%	0%	5%	9%	1%	6%	10%	9%	6%	
Managed	No.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Supervised	No.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Local	No.	112	181	139	173	144	128	131	168	
	%	100%	95%	91%	99%	94%	90%	91%	94%	

Table G shows the means by which allegations were finalised as a number and a percentage of the total number of allegations finalised by all means (including those with means 'not known') in that quarter. 'Investigation' includes all types (independent, managed, supervised and local).

Table H shows the number of allegations finalised by investigation in each quarter, broken down by investigation type. The percentage is of the total number of allegations finalised by investigation.

Data tables - Force appeals

Table I: Force appeals received, completed and upheld

Appeal type		Previou	ıs Year		Current Year				
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
Inv received	2	4	1	4	1	0	0	1	
Inv completed	1	4	0	5	1	0	0	2	
Inv valid completed	1	4	0	5	1	0	0	2	
Inv upheld	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	
% inv upheld	0%	0%	0%	20%	100%	0%	0%	0%	
LR received	40	47	42	41	51	53	46	42	
LR completed	33	36	24	77	63	19	108	51	
LR valid completed	33	35	24	76	59	19	108	46	
LR upheld	7	1	4	5	7	3	9	12	
% LR upheld	21%	3%	17%	7%	12%	16%	8%	26%	
Disapp received	12	0	2	8	0	5	3	1	
Disapp completed	4	16	1	7	2	0	6	2	
Disapp valid completed	4	16	1	7	2	0	6	1	
Disapp upheld	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
% disapp upheld	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Discon received	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Discon completed	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Discon valid completed	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Discon upheld	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
% discon upheld	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

Table I shows the number of appeals received, completed and upheld by police forces in each quarter for each appeal type. 'Inv' refers to investigation appeals, 'LR' to local resolution appeals, 'Disapp' to disapplication appeals and 'Discon' to discontinuance appeals. 'Valid completed' excludes appeals where there was no right of appeal, i.e. invalid appeals, and any appeals that were withdrawn. The calculation for the % appeals upheld excludes any appeals that were deemed invalid and any that were withdrawn.

Force appeals data is only available from 2013/14. From 1 January 2016, the British Transport Police consider appeals. Before this date all appeals relating to the British Transport Police were considered by the IOPC.