## Durham

# Reporting period: 01 April 2019 to 31 March 2020

Table A: Key Indicators					
Measure	Year to date actual	Count	Same period last year	MSF average	National result
IOPC appeals upheld	• •				
% IOPC investigation appeals upheld	36%	9	33%	21%	34%
% IOPC local resolution appeals upheld	0%	0	50%	0%	49%
% IOPC non recording appeals upheld	21%	3	44%	50%	40%
% IOPC disapplication appeals upheld	0%	0	0%	0%	10%
% IOPC discontinuance appeals upheld	0%	0	0%	25%	22%
Force appeals upheld and completed					
% force investigation appeals upheld	0%	0	0%	7%	15%
% force local resolution appeals upheld	0%	0	13%	25%	16%
% force disapplication appeals upheld	33%	2	25%	13%	13%
% force discontinuance appeals upheld	0%	0	0%	0%	11%
Ave number days to complete all force appeals	60		43	33	46
Complaint cases - timeliness					
% complaint cases recorded within 10 days	96%	244	96%	90%	86%
Ave number of days to finalise complaint cases (not inc suspension)	78		66	92	100
Ave number of days to finalise complaint cases (inc suspension)	86		70	100	107
Allegations - timeliness					
Ave number of days to locally resolve allegations	78		57	71	73
Ave number of days to finalise allegations by local investigation	167		166	173	151
Ave number of days to finalise allegations by supervised investigation	0		0	234	863
Table B: Allegations					
Measure	Year to date actual	Count	Same period last year	MSF average	National result
Allegations recorded					
Number of allegations recorded per 1000 employees	209		251	272	243
% of Other neglect or Failure in duty allegations	51%	236	47%	45%	41%
% of Incivility, impoliteness and intolerance allegations	9%	41	12%	13%	12%
% of Lack of fairness and impartiality allegations	8%	38	8%	4%	4%
% of Improper access and/or disclosure of information allegations	5%	25	5%	3%	3%
% of Breach of Code C PACE on detention, treatment and questioning allegations	5%	24	4%	4%	3%
Allegations finalised					
% allegations locally resolved	48%	239	51%	47%	48%
% allegations investigated	40%	199	33%	35%	39%
% allegations discontinued	0%	1	1%	1%	1%
% allegations disapplied	9%	46	12%	5%	5%
% allegations dispensed	0%	0	0%	0%	0%
% allegations withdrawn	3%	17	4%	12%	6%

### Durham

Table C: IOPC performance					
Measure	Year to date actual	Count	Same period last year	MSF average	National result
Ave number of days to complete referrals	3		3		
Casework - timeliness					
Ave number of days to forward appeals to the RAB	0		2		
Ave number of days to complete IOPC dispensations, disapplications and discontinuances	24		0		
Ave number of days to complete IOPC investigation appeals	35		33		
Ave number of days to complete IOPC local resolution appeals	0		31		
Ave number of days to complete IOPC non-recording appeals	27		20		
Ave number of days to complete IOPC disapplication appeals	35		14		
Ave number of days to complete IOPC discontinuance appeals	0		13		
Investigations - timeliness			-		
Ave number of days to finalise allegations by independent investigation	0		0	365	409
Ave number of days to finalise allegations by managed investigation	0		0	0	(
	•				

### Notes

This bulletin presents figures on complaints recorded under the 2012 complaints regime only. The 2012 complaints regime is governed by the Police (Complaints and Misconduct) Regulations 2012. On 1 February 2020, these were replaced by the Police (Complaints and Misconduct) Regulations 2020. Complaints recorded from 1 February 2020 are not included in this bulletin.

### Table C:

RAB refers to 'relevant appeal body'. Both Chief Officers of police forces and the IOPC are appeal bodies and consider appeals. The measure 'Ave number of days to forward appeals to the RAB' captures how long it takes the IOPC to determine that an appeal it has received should have been made to the force and to forward it to that force.

#### Data sources:

Complaint case and allegation data is taken from XML data submissions made by forces to the IOPC every quarter.

IOPC performance data is taken from the IOPC case management system.

Police force employee numbers are taken from the publication Police Workforce, England and Wales, 31 March 2019 (National Statistics).

The Most Similar Force (MSF) groups for the calculation of the MSF averages used in this bulletin are those determined by the Home Office. Please note that British Transport Police and City of London Police do not have an MSF group. A list of the MSF groups is available on the IOPC website: <a href="https://policeconduct.gov.uk/research-and-learning/statistics/complaints-statistics">https://policeconduct.gov.uk/research-and-learning/statistics/complaints-statistics</a>

#### Performance Framework counting rules and calculations:

The counting rules and calculations used to produce the data shown in this bulletin can be found on the IOPC website: <a href="https://policeconduct.gov.uk/research-and-learning/statistics/complaints-statistics">https://policeconduct.gov.uk/research-and-learning/statistics/complaints-statistics</a>

#### Data constraints:

The data is sourced from live case management systems and provides a snap shot of information as it was at that time. Therefore, there may be variances between the information in this bulletin and information reported at a later date.

#### Further information:


A glossary providing a full list of definitions used in these bulletins, can be found on the IOPC website: <u>https://policeconduct.gov.uk/research-and-learning/statistics/complaints-statistics</u>

Additional information about the recording of police complaints, including the definitions of the allegation categories, can be found in the *Guidance on the Recording of Complaints Under the Police Reform Act 2002* on the IOPC website: <u>https://policeconduct.gov.uk/research-and-learning/statistics/complaints-</u> <u>statistics</u>

nformation about how the police complaints system operates, who can complain and how appeals are dealt with can be found in the *IOPC Statutory Guidance* (2015) on the IOPC website: <u>https://policeconduct.gov.uk/complaints-and-appeals/statutory-guidance</u>.


#### Durham

### Complaint cases and allegations recorded




#### Durham

## Complaint cases recorded within 10 working days




#### Durham


# Allegations recorded per 1,000 employees





















### Durham






The line chart shows the average number of working days it has taken police forces to complete appeals (all appeal types) in each quarter in each financial year.

The bar charts show the number of appeals received, valid completed and upheld this year to date by police forces for each appeal type. 'Valid completed' excludes appeals where there was no right of appeal, i.e. invalid appeals, and any appeals that were withdrawn.

Force appeals data is only available from 2013/14. From 1 January 2016, the British Transport Police consider appeals. Before this date all appeals relating to the British Transport Police were considered by the IOPC.

### Durham





The line chart shows the average number of working days it has taken the IOPC to complete appeals (all appeal types) in each quarter in each financial year.

The bar charts show the number of appeals received, valid completed and upheld this year to date by the IOPC for each appeal type. 'Valid completed' excludes appeals where there was no right of appeal, i.e. invalid appeals.

### Durham

### Data Tables - IOPC data

Table D: No. of referrals received

	Previou	ıs Year		Current Year					
Q1	Q2	Q3	Q3 Q4		Q2	Q3	Q4		
7	11	6	11	6	7	6	10		

Table E: No. mode of investigation (MOI) decisions made

MOI decision	Previous Year				Current Year					
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
Independent	1	1	0	1	1	0	2	0		
Managed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Supervised	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0		
Local	3	7	4	8	1	6	3	9		
Refer back	4	2	2	1	2	3	1	1		

Table F: IOPC appeals received, completed and upheld

Appeal type		Previou	is Year			Current Year				
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
Inv received	6	3	4	7	5	8	7	4		
Inv completed	3	6	3	6	4	8	8	5		
Inv valid completed	3	6	3	6	4	8	8	5		
Inv upheld	0	4	2	0	1	3	3	2		
% inv upheld	0%	67%	67%	0%	25%	38%	38%	40%		
LR received	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0		
LR completed	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0		
LR valid completed	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0		
LR upheld	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0		
% LR upheld	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
NR received	7	4	5	2	6	2	4	3		
NR completed	10	3	4	4	6	2	3	4		
NR valid completed	8	3	4	3	6	2	3	3		
NR upheld	3	1	2	2	2	0	1	0		
% NR upheld	38%	33%	50%	67%	33%	0%	33%	0%		
Disapp received	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0		
Disapp completed	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0		
Disapp valid completed	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0		
Disapp upheld	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
% disapp upheld	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Discon received	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Discon completed	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Discon valid completed	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Discon upheld	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
% discon upheld	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		

The figures in Tables D and E include all referrals, not just those arising from complaints. Table D shows the number of referrals received in each quarter. Table E shows the IOPC MOI decision for referrals completed in each quarter. The sum of the figures for each quarter in Table E may be different to the figures in Table D as a referral may be received in one quarter and completed in another. In additon, the figures in Table E do not include referrals with a mode of investigation decision of 'invalid'.

Table F shows the number of appeals received, completed and upheld by the IOPC in each quarter for each appeal type. 'Inv' refers to investigation appeals, 'LR' to local resolution appeals, 'NR' to non recording appeals, 'Disapp' to disapplication appeals and 'Discon' to discontinuance appeals. Data for disapp and discon appeals is not available prior to 11 March 2013. 'Valid completed' excludes appeals where there was no right of appeal, i.e. invalid appeals. The calculation for the % appeals upheld excludes any appeals that were deemed invalid.

### Durham

# Data tables - Allegations finalised

# Table G: Means allegations finalised by

Means			Previo	us Year			it Year		
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Investigation	No.	46	35	45	53	50	55	41	53
Investigation	%	32%	26%	37%	38%	40%	51%	28%	43%
Least resolution	No.	74	79	61	60	54	41	85	59
Local resolution	%	52%	58%	50%	43%	44%	38%	58%	48%
Withdrawn	No.	4	5	4	9	2	3	8	4
	%	3%	4%	3%	6%	2%	3%	5%	3%
Discontinued	No.	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Discontinued	%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Discussional	No.	16	18	11	19	17	8	13	8
Disapplied	%	11%	13%	9%	13%	14%	7%	9%	6%
Diseased	No.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dispensed	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

### Table H: Allegations finalised by investigation type

Investigation type			Previo	us Year		Current Year				
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
Independent	No.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
independent	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	No.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Managed	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	No.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Supervised	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Local	No.	46	35	45	53	50	55	41	53	
	%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	

Table G shows the means by which allegations were finalised as a number and a percentage of the total number of allegations finalised by all means (including those with means 'not known') in that quarter. 'Investigation' includes all types (independent, managed, supervised and local).

Table H shows the number of allegations finalised by investigation in each quarter, broken down by investigation type. The percentage is of the total number of allegations finalised by investigation.

### Durham

### **Data tables - Force appeals**

# Table I: Force appeals received, completed and upheld

Appeal type		Previou	ıs Year		Current Year				
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
Inv received	1	0	3	3	1	3	4	1	
Inv completed	1	1	1	3	3	1	2	4	
Inv valid completed	1	1	1	3	3	0	2	4	
Inv upheld	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
% inv upheld	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
LR received	3	4	4	3	5	6	10	10	
LR completed	5	2	7	2	5	3	4	5	
LR valid completed	5	2	7	2	5	3	4	5	
LR upheld	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	
% LR upheld	0%	0%	29%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Disapp received	1	0	1	3	4	1	0	0	
Disapp completed	0	0	2	2	3	1	0	2	
Disapp valid completed	0	0	2	2	3	1	0	2	
Disapp upheld	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	
% disapp upheld	0%	0%	0%	50%	67%	0%	0%	0%	
Discon received	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Discon completed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Discon valid completed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Discon upheld	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
% discon upheld	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

Table I shows the number of appeals received, completed and upheld by police forces in each quarter for each appeal type. 'Inv' refers to investigation appeals, 'LR' to local resolution appeals, 'Disapp' to disapplication appeals and 'Discon' to discontinuance appeals. 'Valid completed' excludes appeals where there was no right of appeal, i.e. invalid appeals, and any appeals that were withdrawn. The calculation for the % appeals upheld excludes any appeals that were deemed invalid and any that were withdrawn.

Force appeals data is only available from 2013/14. From 1 January 2016, the British Transport Police consider appeals. Before this date all appeals relating to the British Transport Police were considered by the IOPC.