Appropriate Authority: Hampshire

About this bulletin

This bulletin presents information about complaints defined under the Police Reform Act 2002), as amended by the Police and Crime Act 2017. The legislation came into effect on 1 February 2020 (4 January 2021 for the British Transport Police). It sets out performance against a number of measures and compares force (MSF) group and with the overall result for all forces (national).

Contents

Section A1:1: Complaint cases logged and initial handling Section A1.2: Allegations logged – what has been complained about Section A1.3: Allegations logged – what has been complained about – top five allegation categories and their subcategories Section A1.4: Allegations logged – what has been complained about (category) and the situational context of allegations (fac

Section A2: Allegations timeliness

Section A3.1: How allegations were finalised and their decisions Section A3.2: Allegation decisions by what was complained about (category)

Section A4: Complaint cases timeliness

Section B (removed)

Section C: Referrals

Section D1: Reviews received

Section D2: Reviews timeliness

Section D3: Decisions on LPB reviews

Section D4: Decisions on IOPC reviews

Section E1.1: Allegation actions – on complaint cases handled outside of Schedule 3 Section E1.2: Allegation actions – on complaint cases handled under Schedule 3

Notes

Reporting Period: 01 April 2022 - 31 March 2023 (Q4 2022/23)

Most Similar Force (MSF) Group: Avon And Somerset, Essex, Hampshire, Hertfordshire, Leicestershire, Staffordshire, Sussex, Thames Valley



Independent Office for

	Acronyms used in this bulletin
	Force – year to date force numbers
	IOPC – Independent Office for Police Conduct
ctors)	Inc. – including
	Ind – independent investigation
	Loc – local investigation
	LPB – local policing body
	MSF – most similar force
	Nat. – national
	No. – number
	PRA – the Police Reform Act 2002
	RPRP – reflective practice review process
	SPLY - Same period last year
	UPP – unsatisfactory performance procedure

Appropriate Authority: Hampshire

Section A1.1: Complaint cases logged and initial handling

This section presents information relating to the force's contact with customers and their initial handling of the complaint cases. It shows how long, on average, it takes from the customer's perspective from when they made the complaint and the force's performance of the initial handling from when it received the complaint.

Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from timeliness measures. Please refer to the performance framework counting rules and calculations on the <u>IOPC website</u> for explanations of customer perspective, initial handling and invalid dates.

To contact complainants

Average number of working days	Customer perspective	Initial handling performance
Force	2	1
SPLY	6	6
MSF Average	5	5
National	5	4

To log complaint cases

Average number of working days	Customer perspective	Initial handling performance
Force	1	1
SPLY	2	2
MSF Average	5	5
National	5	4

Please note: average times presented in the above tables are rounded to the nearest whole number. In comparison, the charts present the exact result.

Complaint cases logged

Complaint cases logged


No. of complaint cases logged per 1,000 employees

Reasons complaint cases are recorded under Schedule 3 of the PRA 2002

The below table presents the number of complaint cases handled under Schedule 3 of the PRA 2002 (formal handling) and the reasons why.

Reason complaint case recorded under Schedule

AA/body responsible for initial handling decides Complainant wishes the complaint be recorded Dissatisfaction after initial handling Nature of the allegation(s) in the complaint



Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
3,729	3,848	2,217	81,142
646	691	387	329

	Fo	rce	S	PLY	MSF Ave	erage	National			
e 3	No.	%	No.	No. %		%	No.	%		
	232	36 %	209	27 %	456	46 %	13,120	41 %		
	79	12 %	231	29 %	165	13 %	7,333	23 %		
	273	42 %	248	32 %	152	20 %	4,849	15 %		
	60	9 %	99	13 %	152	21 %	6,318	20 %		

Independent Office for lice Conduct

Appropriate Authority: Hampshire

Section A1.2: Allegations logged - what has been complained about


This section presents information that shows what people complain about. Allegation categories capture the root of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint. A complaint case will contain one or more allegations and one category is selected for each allegation logged.

Please refer to our <u>Guidance on capturing data about police complaints</u> for guidance on logging allegations and complaint category definitions.

Due to some allegations not having a recorded category, the totals differ in the two tables.

Handling of Delivery of Police duties and powers, damage t policies and service property procedures premises 133 Force 2,802 ,280 SPLY 150 1,181 2,897 MSF Average 112 801 2,111 National 73,646 27,072 3,983 25 % 3 % 54 % Force SPLY 56 % 23 % 3 % MSF Average 52 % 20 % 3 % National 3 % 20 % 55 %





Reporting Period: 01 April 2022 - 31 March 2023 (Q4 2022/23)

Allegations logged

Allegations Logged No. of allegations logged per 1,000 er

of or to :y/ es	Access and/or disclosure of information	vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour	Abuse of position/ corruption	Individual behaviours	Sexual conduct	Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
	111	103	70	42	586	7	31	12	5,177
	97	70	137	31	507	6	21	48	5,145
	80	44	130	64	572	8	24	32	3,977
	2,790	1,436	4,062	1,676	17,353	293	743	1,855	134,909
	2 %	2 %	1 %	1 %	11 %	0 %	1 %	0 %	100 %
	2 %	1 %	3 %	1 %	10 %	0 %	0 %	1 %	100 %
	2 %	1 %	3 %	2 %	15 %	0 %	1 %	1 %	100 %
	2 %	1 %	3 %	1 %	13 %	0 %	1 %	1 %	100 %

			 				 Force
			 				 Force SPLY MSF Average National
			 				 National
s r e of	Use of police vehicles	Discrimina behaviour	Individual behaviours	Sexual conduct	Discredita conduct	Other	

Independent Office for Police Conduct

	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
	5,177	5,145	3,977	134,952
employees	897	924	686	547

Appropriate Authority: Hampshire Reporting Period: 01 April 2022 - 31 March 2023 (Q4 2022/23) Section A1.3: Allegations logged – what has been complained about – top five allegation categories and their subcategories

	-	For	се	SPL	Y	MSF A	verage	Natio	onal
Category	Subcategory	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Delivery of duties and service	Total	2,802	54 %	2,897	56 %	2,111	52 %	73,645	55 %
	Police action following contact	1,224	44 %	1,235	43 %	1,061	54 %	32,666	44 %
	Decisions	563	20 %	331	11 %	296	14 %	9,307	13 %
	General level of service	843	30 %	1,192	41 %	501	18 %	24,653	33 %
	Information	172	6 %	139	5 %	254	14 %	7,019	10 %
Police powers, policies and	Total	1,280	25 %	1,181	23 %	800	20 %	27,071	20 %
procedures	Stops, and stop and search	55	4 %	59	5 %	34	4 %	1,578	6 %
	Searches of premises and seizure of property	145	11 %	86	7 %	104	14 %	3,569	13 %
	Power to arrest and detain	189	15 %	180	15 %	140	18 %	4,388	16 %
	Detention in police custody	95	7 %	67	6 %	109	15 %	3,674	14 %
	Bail, identification and interview procedures	51	4 %	39	3 %	37	5 %	1,115	4 %
	Use of force	184	14 %	183	15 %	202	26 %	7,280	27 %
	Evidential procedures	190	15 %	121	10 %	55	6 %	1,967	7 %
	Out of court disposals	58	5 %	15	1 %	22	3 %	430	2 %
	Other policies and procedures	313	24 %	431	36 %	99	10 %	3,069	11 %
	Use of police systems	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %
	Impolite and intolerant actions	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
	Use of force and detention in custody	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
Handling of or damage to	Total	133	3 %	150	3 %	105	3 %	3,759	3 %
property/ premises	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	133	100 %	150	100 %	105	93 %	3,759	94 %
	Delivery of duties and service	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %
Access and/or disclosure of	Total	111	2 %	97	2 %	80	2 %	2,790	2 %
information	Use of police systems	5	5 %	9	9 %	5	6 %	189	7 %
	Disclosure of information	88	79 %	67	69 %	59	73 %	1,934	69 %
	Handling of information	15	14 %	17	18 %	13	16 %	564	20 %
	Accessing and handling of information from other sources	3	3 %	4	4 %	3	5 %	103	4 %
Individual behaviours	Total	586	11 %	507	10 %	572	15 %	17,351	13 %
	Unprofessional attitude and disrespect	220	38 %	187	37 %	160	27 %	5,020	29 %
	Lack of fairness and impartiality	85	15 %	65	13 %	96	16 %	2,638	15 %
	Overbearing or harassing behaviours	89	15 %	101	20 %	116	20 %	3,089	18 %
	Impolite language / tone	122	21 %	96	19 %	133	25 %	4,517	26 %
	Impolite and intolerant actions	70	12 %	58	11 %	67	12 %	2,087	12 %



Independent Office for Police Conduct

This section presents the five most commonly recorded categories for allegations that have been logged.

A complaint case will contain one or more allegations and one category (and sub-category, where available) is selected for each allegation logged.

Total % is of the total number of allegations logged.

Where the subcategory is 'None', this has been excluded from this table. For full counts please see section A1.2

Appropriate Authority: Hampshire

Section A1.4: Allegations logged – What has been complained about (category) and the situational context of complaints (factors)

					Alle	gation cates	gory					
Factors	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Use of police vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour	Abuse of position/ corruption	Individual behaviours		Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
Arrest	58	308	17	3	0	6	0	31	0	3	0	426
Call Handling	511	13	0	5	0	1	0	99	0	0	0	629
Child protection / CSA / CSE	46	32	2	6	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	90
Coronavirus – other	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	5
Coronavirus – police powers on restricti	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Covert policing	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Custody	29	151	8	1	1	5	0	12	1	0	0	208
Death	45	7	5	0	0	1	14	4	0	0	1	77
Domestic / gender abuse	126	38	1	7	0	4	1	17	0	1	0	195
Drugs / alcohol	18	18	2	2	0	4	0	3	0	2	1	50
Firearms	19	3	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	26
Fraud	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	4
Hate Crime	6	5	0	0	0	8	0	3	0	0	0	22
Investigation	963	333	42	19	0	16	25	91	0	2	2	1,493
Mental health	36	19	1	1	1	2	0	9	0	0	0	69
Missing persons	10	8	0	1	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	25
Neighbourhood policing	321	46	2	12	1	3	1	48	0	0	0	434
None	492	181	37	45	11	20	10	207	3	14	2	1,022
Police dogs or horses	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
Premises search	11	71	12	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	98
Public order incident	10	9	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	24
Restraint equipment	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3
Roads/traffic	206	69	7	4	88	4	0	39	0	0	4	421
Serious injury	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	7
Social media	35	6	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	47
Stop and/or search	10	40	1	2	0	2	0	8	0	0	0	63
Taser	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	5
Unknown	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	6
VAWG - dissatisfaction handling	73	34	0	1	0	2	0	13	0	0	0	123
VAWG - police perpetrated	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
VAWG - police victim	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3

This section presents information that shows what people are complaining about using a combination of allegation categories and factors. Categories capture the root of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint. Factors capture the situational context of the dissatisfaction expressed in a complaint. The combination of categories and factors provides a richer picture of what people are complaining about compared to the categories alone.


Each allegation should have a single category selected. However, multiple factors can be selected on a single allegation. Therefore, the sum of factors will not equal the total allegations logged in each category. Please refer to our <u>Guidance on capturing data about police complaints</u> for definitions of categories and factors.




Appropriate Authority: Hampshire

Section A2: Allegations timeliness

Average number of working days to	Force	SPLY	MSF	National
finalise allegations ▲			Average	
Outside of Schedule 3	7	10	18	16
Under Schedule 3 - not subject to investigation	83	80	80	98
Under Schedule 3 - by local investigation	219	142	158	159
Under Schedule 3 - by directed investigation	0	0	0	447
Under Schedule 3 - by independent investigation	160	368	118	292
400	Avera	ge nun	nber of v	vorking
300 300				
σ_{200}				





Reporting Period: 01 April 2022 - 31 March 2023 (Q4 2022/23)

This section presents the time it takes the force to finalise allegations by how they were handled. It gives a breakdown of allegations handled informally outside of Schedule 3 and those that were handled formally by either by investigation or handled other than by investigation. Timeliness is calculated from the date the allegation was received by the force.

Allegations with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the performance framework counting rules and calculations on the **IOPC** website for an explanation of invalid dates.



Appropriate Authority: Hampshire

Section A3.1: How allegations were handled and their decisions

This section presents a breakdown of how allegations were handled information and the decisions being given. An allegation decision is logged for each allegation finalised. The allegation decision reflects how the complaint case has been handled, with different means of handling. Both the allegation decisions and the subsequent actions available will depend on two things: firstly, whether the complaint case has been handled outside or under Schedule 3; and secondly, the means of handling where it has been dealt with under Schedule 3.

Please refer to our <u>Guidance on capturing data about police complaints</u> for details of allegation decisions.

How allegations were handled

Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special proced

Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures

Under Schedule 3 - not investigated

Outside of Schedule 3

Total

Please note that figures presented in these tables may be under-representing the number of allegations finalised under Schedule 3 and investigated (not subject to special procedures), instead grouping some of these allegations as finalised under Schedule 3 and not investigated. This is because police forces were not able to consistently and accurately collect this data on their systems until the implementation of an upgrade from November 2020.

How allegations were handled	Outside of Schedule 3				Under Schedule 3 - not investigated				Under Schedule 3 investigated (subject to special procedures)				Under Schedule 3 investigated (not subject to special procedures)			
Allegation decision	Force	Force	Nat.	Nat.	Force	Force	Nat.	Nat.	Force	Force	Nat.	Nat.	Force	Force	Nat.	Nat.
	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.
No further action					17 %	267	9 %	4,797			1 %	20	1 %	2	5 %	727
Regulation 41 applies							0 %	53							1 %	92
Service provided - unable to determine			0 %	1	5 %	79	7 %	3,776			1 %	12	2 %	3	6 %	921
Service provided - not acceptable					12 %	190	14 %	7,640			4 %	66	14 %	22	12 %	1,909
Service provided - acceptable					<mark>63 %</mark>	965	67 %	36,437	5 %	1	21 %	321	81 %	123	74 %	11,422
Not Resolved	6 %	234	8 %	4,590												
Resolved	94 %	3398	92 %	50,931												
No Case to Answer									23 %	5	47 %	736				
Case to Answer									<mark>68 %</mark>	15	24 %	372				
Withdrawal					3 %	41	4 %	1,988	5 %	1	2 %	34	1 %	2	3 %	458
Total	68 %	3632	44 %	55,522	29 %	1542	43 %	54,691	0 %	22	1 %	1,561	3 %	152	12 %	15,529

	Force No.	Force %	MSF Average No.	MSF Average %	National No.	National %
edures)	152	3 %	727	16 %	15,536	12 %
res)	22	0 %	29	1 %	1,562	1 %
	1,542	<mark>29 %</mark>	1494	44 %	54,707	43 %
	3,632	<mark>68 %</mark>	1538	40 %	55,524	44 %
	5,348	100 %	3787	100 %	127,329	100 %

Independent Office for Police Conduct

Appropriate Authority: Hampshire

Section A3.2: Allegation decisions by what was complained about (category)

This section presents information about allegations handled both informally and formally, grouped by the allegation decision and the category selected on each allegation. Each allegation has a single category selected.


					Alle	gation cate	gory					
Allegation decisions	Delivery of duties and service	Police powers, policies and procedures	Handling of or damage to property/ premises	Access and/or disclosure of information	Use of police vehicles	Discriminatory behaviour		Individual behaviours		Discreditable conduct	Other	Total
No further action	165	41	4	5	3	9	24	14	1	0	3	269
Regulation 41 applies	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Service provided - unable to determine	36	16	3	2	2	1	0	22	0	0	0	82
Service provided - not acceptable	114	58	4	7	1	2	2	20	0	3	1	212
Service provided - acceptable	553	332	24	29	8	28	10	88	2	3	12	1,089
Not Resolved	105	61	9	4	12	3	3	35	0	2	0	234
Resolved	1,957	762	92	72	78	31	7	387	2	6	4	3,398
No Case to Answer	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Case to Answer	0	3	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	8	0	15
Withdrawal	24	9	0	1	0	1	1	6	1	0	1	44

OPC Independent Office for Police Conduct

Appropriate Authority: Hampshire

Section A4: Complaint cases finalised - timeliness

Average number of working days to finalise complaint cases	Force	SPLY	MSF Average	National
Outside of Schedule 3	8	9	19	19
Under Schedule 3 (inc suspension)	102	82	110	132
Under Schedule 3 (not inc suspension)	98	80	105	125



Reporting Period: 01 April 2022 - 31 March 2023 (Q4 2022/23)

This section shows the time it takes the force to finalise complaint cases from the customer's perspective. It gives a breakdown of the time taken to finalise complaint cases handled informally and those that are handled formally under Schedule 3. Timeliness is calculated from the date the complaint was made.

Complaint cases with 'invalid dates' have been removed from the data shown. Please refer to the **<u>IOPC website</u>** performance framework counting rules and calculations on the for an explanation of invalid dates.

Average number of working days to finalise complaint

Independent Office for

cases	
 Outside of Schedule 3 Under Schedule 3 (inc suspension) Under Schedule 3 (not inc suspension))


	Force: Outside of Schedule 3 Force: Under Schedule 3 (inc suspension) Force: Under Schedule 3 (not inc suspension) MSF: Outside Schedule 3 MSF: Under Schedule 3 (inc suspension) MSF: Under Schedule 3 (not inc suspension)
Ν	National and SPLY are not available for this visualisation.

Appropriate Authority: Hampshire

Section C: Referrals

	Force	Force %	SPLY	MSF Average	MSF Average %	National	National %
Number referrals received	142		101	138		6,083	
Number referrals completed	145		98	138		6,088	
Decision: Independent Investigation	3	2%	7	8	6%	420	7%
Decision: Directed Investigation	0	0%	1	0	0%	58	1%
Decision: Local Investigation	79	54%	53	88	64%	3,852	63%
Decision: Return to Force	61	42%	35	40	29%	1,679	28%
Decision: Invalid	2	1%	1	2	1%	75	1%

Average number of working days to complete referrals by quarter



The figures presented in this section include all referrals that the force makes to the IOPC (received), not just those arising from complaints. When the IOPC receives a referral from the force, it reviews the information they have provided. The IOPC decides whether the matter requires an investigation, and the type of investigation. Referrals may have been completed in a different period to when they were received. Where a referral is made by the force on a mandatory basis but does not meet the matter may not fall within the IOPC's remit to assess and will be determined invalid. The sum of decisions may not match the number of referrals completed. This is because some to the attention of the appropriate authority before 1 February 2020 and have investigation type decisions of either managed or supervised.


Independent Office for Police Conduct

Appropriate Authority: Hampshire

Section D1: Reviews received

	Complaint cases finalised under Schedule 3		Reviews received as proportion of complaint cases finalised under Schedule 3	Number LPB reviews received - investigation	Number LPB reviews received - non-investigation	Number IOPC reviews received - investigation	Number IOPC reviews received - non-investigation
Force	684	168	25 %	0	100	14	54
SPLY	841	199	24 %	0	150	12	37
MSF Average	922	177	20 %	41	88	22	26
National	30,521	6,357	21 %	486	3,880	803	1,188

Section D2: Reviews timeliness



Where a complaint has been recorded under Schedule 3 to the Police Reform Act 2002, the complainant has a right to apply for a review. A person can apply for review if they are unhappy with the way their complaint was handled, or with the outcome. This applies whether the complaint has been investigated by the appropriate authority or handled otherwise than by investigation (non-investigation). The application for a review will be considered either by the local policing body or the IOPC; the relevant review body depends on the circumstances of the complaint. This section presents information about applications for review handled by both local policing bodies and the IOPC. Information about the right of review and who is the relevant review body is available in chapter 18 of the IOPC's Statutory Guidance on the police complaints system (February 2020). Reviews with 'invalid dates' have been removed from reviews timeliness. Please refer to the performance framework counting rules and calculations on the IOPC website for an explanation of invalid dates.

Independent Office for Police Conduct

Appropriate Authority: Hampshire

Section D3: Decisions on LPB reviews

This section presents information about the decisions made on reviews that found the outcome of the complaint was not reasonable and proportionate, those which resulted in a recommendation and, if necessary, a direction to the appropriate authority in respect of any person serving with the police. Please note: Numbers are not available for the MSF Average - % only

-		Investigation			Non-investigatio	n
-	Valid completed reviews	Found not reasonable and proportionate	% found not reasonable and proportionate	Valid completed reviews	Found not reasonable and proportionate	% found not reasonable and proportionate
Force	0		0	126	19	15
SPLY	0		0	145	16	11
NSF Average			11			14
Jational	574	156	27	4,093	777	19
Force SPLY SF Average		10.7	15.1 11.0 14.0			27.2
National 0	5	10	15 Investigation Non-investigation	19	.0 20	25



LPB reviews resulting in recommendations

-		Investigation		Non-investigation			
•	Found not reasonable and proportionate	Resulting in recommendations	% resulting in recommendations	Found not reasonable and proportionate	Resulting in recommendations	% resulting in recommendations	
Force			0	19	19	100	
SPLY			0	16	16	100	
MSF Average			37			79	
National	156	144	92	777	695	89	



Appropriate Authority: Hampshire

Section D4: Decisions on IOPC reviews

This section presents information about the decisions made on reviews that found the outcome of the complaint was not reasonable and proportionate, those which resulted in a recommendation and, if necessary, a direction to the appropriate authority in respect of any person serving with the police.

IOPC reviews found not reasonable and proportionate

Investigation	Valid completed reviews	Outcome found not reasonable and proportionate
Force	12	4
MSF Average	15	4
National	551	178
Non- investigation	Valid completed reviews	Outcome found not reasonable and proportionate

Non- investigation	Valid completed reviews	Outco reasonable
Force	47	
MSF Average	17	
National	949	

IOPC review recommendations and directions

Investigation	Outcome found not reasonable and proportionate	Recommendations made	Directions made	% resulting in direction	
Force	4	0	3	75	
MSF Average	4	1	3		Perc
National	178	15	111	63	


Non- investigation	Outcome found not reasonable and proportionate	Directions made	% resulting in direction	
Force	18	11	61	
MSF Average	6	4		Per
National	421	318	75	

18

6

421

Note: Number of IOPC reviews in these tables is a new measure, therefore Same Period Last Year is not available.



MSF average in this chart is calculated as an average of each force's % found not reasonable and proportionate and is not a calculation of figures in the left hand table.



centages not available for MSF group average

rcentages not available for MSF group average

Appropriate Authority: Hampshire

Section E1.1: Allegation actions - on complaint cases handled outside of Schedule 3

	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
Actions following outside of Schedule 3 complaint	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
cases ▲								
Organisational learning	13	0 %	7	0 %	6	1 %	173	0 %
Learning from reflection	61	2 %	29	1 %	38	4 %	1064	2 %
Policy review	2	0 %	1	0 %	1	0 %	35	0 %
Goodwill gesture	2	0 %	6	0 %	5	2 %	150	0 %
Apology	213	7 %	262	9 %	145	10 %	4546	9 %
Debrief	28	1 %	77	2 %	10	1 %	433	1 %
Explanation	2176	70 %	1738	56 %	845	61 %	27778	58 %
No further action	331	11 %	392	13 %	143	13 %	9943	21 %
Other action	132	4 %	146	5 %	64	4 %	3781	8 %

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations on finalised complaint cases that resulted in the corresponding action. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our Guidance on capturing data about police complaints for details of actions available.

Please note: not all of the available actions arising from the complaint handling are shown. The actions presented in this section are those that focus on putting an issue right and preventing it from happening again by encouraging those involved to reflect on their actions and learn.

Independent Office for Police Conduc

Appropriate Authority: Hampshire

Section E1.2: Allegation actions - on complaint cases handled under Schedule 3

This section presents information about what happened as a result of the allegation level and multiple actions can be selected, where appropriate, on single allegation. The figures shown in this section are based on allegations on finalised complaint cases that resulted in the corresponding action. As more than one action can be selected for a single allegation, the sum of all percentages will not equal 100%.

The actions available once an allegation is finalised depend on how the complaint case has been handled. Please refer to our Guidance on capturing data about police complaints for details of actions available. Please note: not all of the available actions arising from the complaint handling are shown. The actions presented in this section are those that focus on putting an issue right and preventing it from happening again by encouraging those involved to reflect on their actions and learn.

All complaint cases handled under Schedule 3

	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
Complaint cases resulting in below actions	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Organisational learning	6	1 %	5	1 %	16	2 %	547	2 %
Apology	72	11 %	25	3 %	60	6 %	1876	6 %
Debrief	0	0 %	0	0 %	2	0 %	343	1 %
Explanation	379	55 %	446	53 %	309	43 %	14021	46 %
Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure (UPP)	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	0 %	18	0 %
No further action	264	39 %	340	41 %	454	42 %	12107	40 %
Other action	2	0 %	2	0 %	35	3 %	567	2 %
_earning from reflection	20	3 %	27	3 %	92	11 %	3415	11 %
Referral to RPRP	10	1 %	21	3 %	26	3 %	998	3 %

Misconduct, UPP and RPRP on complaints investigated under Schedule 3 (subject to Special Procedures)

Complaint cases handled under Schedule 3 that are investigated and subject to Special Procedures can result in misconduct proceedings

	Force		SPLY		MSF Average		National	
Complaint cases resulting in below actions	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Misconduct proceedings	3	43 %	2	50 %	4	42 %	113	24 %
Unsatisfactory Performance Procedure (UPP)	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	6	1 %
Other actions following a case to answer decision	0	0 %	0	0 %	1	13 %	24	5 %
Referral to RPRP	2	29 %	1	25 %	2	20 %	113	24 %



Appropriate Authority: Hampshire

Notes

Data sources

Data in this bulletin is taken from XML data submissions made by forces to the IOPC every quarter except for IOPC performance data which is taken from the IOPC case management system.

Police force employee numbers are taken from the latest police workforce England and Wales statistics published by the Home Office. - The most similar force (MSF) groups used for the calculation of the MSF averages in this bulletin are those determined by HMICFRS. Please note that the British Transport Police and City of London Police do not have an MSF group. A list of the MSF groups is available on the IOPC website.

- Figures for City of London include complaint cases logged in relation to 'Action Fraud'. Action Fraud'. Action Fraud'. Action Fraud'. Action Fraud'. by the City of London Police, which is the national policing lead for economic crime.

Performance Framework counting rules and calculation

- The counting rules and calculations used to produce the data shown in this bulletin can be found on the IOPC website.

- Average times are presented in working days and do not include weekends or bank holidays.

Some percentages may add up to more or less than 100% due to rounding.

Complaint cases and allegations with invalid start/end dates have been removed from average time calculations. Therefore, the numbers of complaint cases and allegations used in the average time calculations may be lower than the total number of complaint cases and allegations logged or finalised.

Data constraints

- The data is sourced from live case management systems and provides a snapshot of information as it was at that time. Therefore, there may be variances between the information in this bulletin and information reported at a later date.

Further Information

- A glossary providing a full list of definitions used in this bulletin, can be found on the <u>IOPC website</u>.

- Additional information about the recording of police complaints, including the definitions of the complaint categories, can be found in appendix A of the IOPC's Guidance on capturing data about police complaints.

Information about how the police complaints system operates, who can complain and how reviews are dealt with can be found in the IOPC's Statutory Guidance on the police <u>complaints system (February 2020)</u>.